

## SUPPORT VARIETIES FOR RATIONAL REPRESENTATIONS

ERIC M. FRIEDLANDER\*

ABSTRACT. We introduce support varieties for rational representations of a linear algebraic group  $G$  of exponential type over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . These varieties are closed subspaces of the space  $V(G)$  of all 1-parameter subgroups of  $G$ . The functor  $M \mapsto V(G)_M$  satisfies many of the standard properties of support varieties satisfied by finite groups and other finite group schemes. Furthermore, there is a close relationship between  $V(G)_M$  and the family of support varieties  $V_r(G)_M$  obtained by restricting the  $G$  action to Frobenius kernels  $G_{(r)} \subset G$ . These support varieties seem particularly appropriate for the investigation of infinite dimensional rational  $G$ -modules.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to formulate a suitable theory of support varieties for rational representations for a natural class of linear algebraic groups  $G$  which includes the classical simple groups. We work over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ , so that we consider modular representations of  $G$ : actions of  $G$  on  $k$ -vector spaces. Our criteria for “suitability” include i.) a description which reflects the structure of  $G$ ; ii.) a theory that applies to all rational representations  $M$  of  $G$ ; iii.) expected properties for direct sums, tensor products, extensions, and Frobenius twists; and iv.) a structure  $V(G)_M$  which incorporates the information of the support variety of the rational representation  $M$  of  $G$  when restricted to any Frobenius kernel  $G_{(r)} \subset G$ . Our formulation is an extension of the approach of C. Bendel, A. Suslin, and the author [23]; we employ 1-parameter subgroups rather than traditional methods of cohomology (e.g., [1]) or the more recent methods of  $\pi$ -points (e.g., [6]). The reader is referred to [5] for a brief history of support varieties, beginning with the fundamental work of D. Quillen [17], [18]. For brevity, we usually use “rational  $G$ -module” to refer to a rational representation of  $G$ .

We remind the reader that support varieties (for representations of a finite group, a restricted Lie algebra, or the infinitesimal kernel of a linear algebraic group) give some measure of the local projectivity of the representation with respect to  $p$ -nilpotent actions. Support varieties have their origins in the formulation of the complexity (rate of growth) of projective resolutions and they reflect properties of extensions rather than structures of irreducibles. Refined support varieties have been introduced by the author and J. Pevtsova [7] in order to capture further information about representations of finite group schemes. We extend these invariants to rational representations of linear algebraic groups of exponential type.

---

*Date:* July 1, 2014.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G05, 20C20, 20G10.

*Key words and phrases.* support variety, 1-parameter subgroup.

\* partially supported by the NSF grants DMS-0909314 and DMS-0966589.

The existence of an appropriate theory of support varieties for rational representations is not evident. For example, the rational cohomology of  $G$  with coefficients in  $k$  usually vanishes in positive degrees for simple algebraic groups over  $k$  so that the familiar cohomological methods do not apply. Indeed, projective resolutions of rational representations typically do not exist, and injective representations are typically infinite dimensional. In fact, we have no direct cohomological interpretation of our invariants for a linear algebraic group  $G$ , other than their relationship with invariants for the Frobenius kernels  $G_{(r)}$  of  $G$ . Furthermore, the formulation of local projectivity for rational  $G$ -modules appears unpromising at first for there does not appear to be a good space of  $p$ -nilpotent operators on which to test local projectivity. Another obstacle which arises in formulating a theory of support varieties for rational  $G$ -modules is that invariants for the infinitesimal kernels  $G_{(r)}$  of a linear algebraic group  $G$  do not “match up” with respect to either the natural projections  $G_{(r+1)} \rightarrow G_{(r)}$  or the natural embeddings  $G_{(r)} \rightarrow G_{(r+1)}$ .

Our formulation of the support variety  $V(G)_M$  (Definition 4.4) of a rational  $G$ -module  $M$  is as a subset of  $V(G)$ , the set of all 1-parameter subgroups of  $G$  given the induced topology as a natural subset of the inverse limit of the  $k$ -rational points of schemes of infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroups of  $G$ ; for  $M$  finite dimensional,  $V(G)_M$  is closed in  $V(G)$ . This formulation entails the definition of the ( $p$ -nilpotent) action of  $G$  on  $M$  at a 1-parameter subgroup  $\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  of  $G$ . (See Definition 2.9.) A somewhat confusing twist of indexing is required to enable compatibility of this formulation with that for the support variety of  $M$  restricted to Frobenius kernels  $G_{(r)} \subset G$  of  $G$ .

Extending work of J. Pevtsova and the author in [7] for finite group schemes, we introduce for each  $j > 0$  the “non-maximal  $j$ -rank varieties”  $V^j(G)_M$  for a finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module  $M$  which detect further information about  $M$  given in terms of the locus of Jordan types. (See Definition 4.10.) The key ingredient of this refinement is the well-definedness of maximal Jordan types for a finite group scheme proven in [9].

The linear algebraic groups for which we construct a theory of support varieties are those with a structure of exponential type as formulated in Definition 1.6. Work of P. Sobaje shows that a reductive group  $G$  has such a structure provided that  $p \geq h(G)$ , the Coxeter number of  $G$  [21]. Other examples of such groups are simple groups of classical type, parabolic subgroups of such groups, and unipotent radicals of these parabolic subgroups [22].

Basic properties satisfied by  $M \mapsto V(G)_M$  are given in Theorem 3.10. These include the expected behavior with respect to direct sums, tensor products, and Frobenius twists of rational  $G$ -modules. If  $M$  is finite dimensional, then  $V(G)_M \subset V(G)$  is a closed,  $G$ -stable subset; moreover, for  $M$  finite dimensional,  $V(G)_M$  is determined by the restriction of  $M$  to  $G_{(r)}$  for  $r$  sufficiently large (depending upon  $M$ ).

For a given finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module  $M$ , the computation of the support variety of  $M$  reduces to a computation of support variety of  $M$  as a  $G_{(r)}$ -module for  $r$  sufficiently large depending upon  $M$  (see Theorem 4.6). The “Jantzen Conjecture” (proved in [14] and [15]) enables some computations in Proposition 5.1. Examples 5.3 and 5.4 are explicit computations of certain  $V(G)_M$  and  $V^j(G)_M$ .

In the final section of this paper, we consider natural examples of infinite dimensional rational  $G$ -modules. In Proposition 6.2, we should that if  $G$  admits a

structure of exponential type and  $L$  is an injective rational  $G$ -module, then the support variety  $V(G)_L$  is trivial. This, together with general properties of our support varieties, leads to various examples given at the end of Section 6.

Throughout this paper, we consider affine group schemes of finite type over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . We use the terminology “linear algebraic group” to mean a reduced, irreducible group scheme of finite type over  $k$  which admits a closed embedding into some general linear group  $GL_n$  over  $k$ . We refer the reader to [12], [22], and [23] for some general background we require.

We express our gratitude to Julia Pevtsova for numerous conversations on matters related to support varieties and to Paul Sobaje for various results found in [20] and [21] which have influenced our thinking about 1-parameter subgroups and shaped our definition of a linear algebraic group of exponential type.

## 1. 1-PARAMETER SUBGROUPS

In this first section, we begin by recalling a few facts about (infinitesimal) 1-parameter subgroups  $\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  of  $G$ , where  $\mathbb{G}_a$  is the additive group. We explore the special case  $G = \mathbb{G}_a$ , recalling a concrete description of all 1-parameter subgroups of  $\mathbb{G}_a$ . This example of  $G = \mathbb{G}_a$  will serve as a guide for much more general  $G$ , those of exponential type. The analogous form of 1-parameter subgroups for classical groups motivates our formulation in Definition 1.6 of a linear algebraic group with a structure of exponential type. These are the algebraic groups for which we construct support varieties. We conclude this section with a determination of the effect of pre-composition and post-composition with the Frobenius morphism.

For any group scheme  $G$  of finite type over  $k$  and  $r > 0$ , we denote by  $F^r : G \rightarrow G^{(r)}$  the  $r$ -th Frobenius morphism, where  $G^{(r)}$  is the base change of  $G$  along the  $p^r$ -th power map  $\phi : k \rightarrow k$  (see [10, §1]); we denote by  $G_{(r)}$  the  $r$ -th Frobenius kernel of  $G$ :

$$G_{(r)} \equiv \ker\{F^r : G \rightarrow G^{(r)}\}.$$

When  $G$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^r}$  (i.e.,  $G = \mathrm{Spec} k \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{p^r}} G_0$  for some group scheme  $G_0$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^r}$ ), then we shall use the natural identification of  $G^{(r)}$  with  $G$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $G$  be a connected group scheme of finite type over  $k$ .

For any  $r > 0$ , we denote by  $V_r(G)$  the affine scheme (cf. [22])

$$V_r(G) \equiv \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{grp}/k}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}, G)$$

of homomorphisms of group schemes over  $k$  from the  $r$ -th Frobenius kernel of the additive group to  $G$ . Such a homomorphism will be called an infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroup of  $G$  (of height  $r$ ). The set of  $k$ -points of the scheme  $V_r(G)$ ,  $V_r(G)(k)$ , is endowed with the Zariski topology.

We denote by  $V(G)$  the topological subspace

$$\boxed{V(G)} \quad (1.1.1) \quad V(G) \equiv \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{grp}/k}(\mathbb{G}_a, G) \subset \varprojlim_r V_r(G)(k)$$

of 1-parameter subgroups of  $G$  viewed as a subspace of  $\varprojlim_r V_r(G)(k)$  endowed with the inverse limit topology. Thus, the topology on  $V(G)$  is the weakest topology making each projection map  $pr_r : V(G) \rightarrow V_r(G)(k)$  continuous, where  $pr_r$  is defined by sending  $\psi : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  to its restriction to  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ .

Before giving examples, we mention some useful properties of  $V_r(G)$ .

1param

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group and  $G \subset GL_n$  a closed embedding.*

- (1)  $V_r(G) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{grp}}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}, G_{(r)})$ .
- (2)  $G_{(r)} = G \cap GL_{n(r)}$ .
- (3)  $V_r(G) = V(G) \cap V_r(GL_n)$ .
- (4)  $G$  acts (via conjugation) on each of the schemes  $V_r(G)$  and  $G(k)$  acts (via conjugation) on the space  $V(G)$ .

*Proof.* Assertion (1) follows from the observation that any map of group schemes  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow G$  factors uniquely through the closed embedding  $i_r : G_{(r)} \rightarrow G$ .

Assertion (2) can be found in [12, I.9.4], and assertion (3) follows immediately from (1) and (2).

Assertion (4) is easily verified by viewing the action of  $G$  on  $V_r(G)$  as a functorial action of  $G(A)$  on  $(V_r(G))(A)$  as  $A$  ranges over finitely generated commutative  $k$ -algebras.  $\square$

Our first example is  $G = \mathbb{G}_a$ , the additive group. Throughout this paper, the special case  $G = \mathbb{G}_a$  will serve as our “test case” for new constructions.

additive

**Example 1.3.** We denote by

$$\sigma_{\underline{a}} \equiv \sum_{s \geq 0} a_s F^s : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$$

the 1-parameter subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  given by a finite sequence  $\underline{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_s, \dots) \in \mathbb{A}^\infty$  (i.e.,  $a_s = 0$  for  $s \gg 0$ ) of elements of  $k$ . Here,  $F^s : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  is the  $s$ -th iterate of the Frobenius morphism given by the  $p^s$ -th power map  $k[T] \rightarrow k[T]$ ,  $T \mapsto T^{p^s}$ . Thus, the map on coordinate algebras  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}^*$  is given by  $T \mapsto \sum_{s \geq 0} a_s T^{p^s}$ . In the special case that  $\underline{a}$  has the single non-zero term  $a_0 = b$ , the 1-parameter subgroup  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  is just multiplication by  $b$  and will be denoted  $\sigma_b$ . For any  $r > 0$ , there is a natural isomorphism of schemes [22, 1.10]

$$\mathbb{A}^r \xrightarrow{\sim} V_r(\mathbb{G}_a), \quad \underline{a} \mapsto \sigma_{\underline{a}} \circ i_r = \left( \sum_{s \geq 0}^{r-1} a_s F^s \right) \circ i_r : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a.$$

For a  $p$ -nilpotent,  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  with entries in  $k$ , we denote by

$$\exp_A : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow SL_n \subset GL_n$$

the 1-parameter subgroup given by the functor on commutative  $k$ -algebras  $R$  sending  $r \in R$  to the matrix  $\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} r^s A^s / (s!)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  denote the (restricted) Lie algebra of  $GL_n$  and let  $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$  denote the closed subvariety  $p$ -nilpotent matrices. We denote by

crN

$$(1.3.1) \quad C_r(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{gl}_n)) \subset (\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{gl}_n))^{\times r}$$

the variety of  $r$ -tuples  $(A_0, \dots, A_{r-1})$  of  $p$ -nilpotent, pair-wise commuting  $n \times n$  matrices. We let  $C_\infty(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{gl}_n))$  denote the colimit (i.e., union) of the  $C_r(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{gl}_n))$ , so that a point of  $C_\infty(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{gl}_n))$  is a finite sequence  $(A_0, \dots, A_s, \dots)$  (i.e.,  $A_s = 0$  for  $s \gg 0$ ) of  $p$ -nilpotent, pair-wise commuting  $n \times n$  matrices.

Example 1.3 has the following analogue for  $G = GL_n$ .

**linear****Example 1.4.** For any  $\underline{A} = (A_0, A_1, \dots) \in C_\infty(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{gl}_n))$ , we denote by

$$\exp_{\underline{A}} \equiv \prod_{s \geq 0} (\exp_{A_s} \circ F^s) : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow GL_n$$

the indicated 1-parameter subgroup of  $GL_n$ . For any  $r > 0$ ,**reverse**

$$(1.4.1) \quad \underline{A} \mapsto \exp_{\underline{A}} \circ i_r : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow GL_n$$

determines a natural isomorphism [22, 1.4]

$$C_r(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{gl}_n)) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_r(GL_n).$$

As we discuss in the next example, Example 1.4 extends to linear algebraic groups  $G$  with an embedding of exponential type  $G \subset GL_N$ . We recall that simple algebraic groups of classical type with their natural embeddings into linear groups are embeddings of exponential type [22, 1.8]. A particularly simple class of examples of embeddings of exponential type are the embeddings of root subgroups  $\mathbb{G}_a \subset G$  of a reductive group  $G$  as in Example 2.10.

**exptype****Example 1.5.** A closed embedding  $j : G \subset GL_N$  of algebraic groups is said to be of *exponential type* (as in [22]) if for all commutative  $k$  algebras  $A$  and all  $p$ -nilpotent  $x \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes A$  the exponential map

$$\exp_{(dj)(x)} : \mathbb{G}_a(A) \rightarrow GL_N(A)$$

factors through  $j : G(A) \rightarrow GL_N(A)$ . Here,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of  $G$ . This condition is equivalent to the condition that the exponential map for  $GL_N$  restricts to determine a map of  $k$ -schemes

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G.$$

For such  $j : G \subset GL_N$  of exponential type, (1.4.1) restricts to isomorphisms

$$C_r(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_r(G), \quad \underline{B} \mapsto \exp_{\underline{B}} \circ i_r = \prod_{s=0}^{r-1} (\exp_{B_s} \circ F^s) \circ i_r : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G.$$

We formulate a class of linear algebraic groups  $G$  more general than those admitting a closed embedding of exponential type. These are the algebraic groups  $G$  for which we construct our theory of support varieties.

**str-exptype****Definition 1.6.** Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . A structure of exponential type on  $G$  is a  $G$ -equivariant morphism of  $k$ -schemes (with respect to the adjoint actions on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $G$ )**Exp**

$$(1.6.1) \quad \mathcal{E} : \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G, \quad (B, s) \mapsto \mathcal{E}_B(s)$$

such that

- (1) For each  $B \in \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})(k)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_B : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  is a 1-parameter subgroup.
- (2) For any pair of commuting  $p$ -nilpotent elements  $B, B' \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the maps  $\mathcal{E}_B, \mathcal{E}_{B'} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  commute.
- (3) For any commutative  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , any  $\alpha \in A$ , and any  $s \in \mathbb{G}_a(A)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha \cdot B}(s) = \mathcal{E}_B(\alpha \cdot s)$ .
- (4) Every 1-parameter subgroup  $\psi : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  of  $G$  is of the form

**exponent**

$$(1.6.2) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \equiv \prod_{s=0}^{r-1} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s} \circ F^s)$$

for some  $r > 0$ , some  $\underline{B} \in C_r(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}))$ ; furthermore,  $C_r(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow V_r(G)$ ,  $\underline{B} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \circ i_r$  is an isomorphism.

Condition (2) of Definition 1.6 is equivalent to the condition that the map  $\mathcal{E}_B \bullet \mathcal{E}_{B'} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G \times G$  factors as a map of group schemes through the diagonal map  $diag : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a$ .

Observe that the condition on  $G$  that it should admit a structure of exponential type implies that every infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroup  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow G$  admits a natural lifting to a 1-parameter subgroup  $\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$ . Furthermore, if  $\psi : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  satisfies the condition that  $\psi^*$  applied to each element of some set of generators of  $k[G]$  is a polynomial in  $k[\mathbb{G}_a] = k[T]$  of degree  $< p^r$ , then  $\psi = \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  for some  $\underline{B} \in C_r(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}))$ .

**Remark 1.7.** By Definition 1.6(4), a structure  $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  of exponential type determines a “ $p$ -nilpotent Springer isomorphism”  $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_p(G)$ ,  $B \mapsto \mathcal{E}_B(1)$ . If  $\mathcal{E}'$  is another structure of exponential type on  $G$ , then there is a unique automorphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'} : \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})$  relating the  $p$ -nilpotent Springer isomorphisms associated to  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ . Moreover,  $\phi_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'}$  determines an automorphism  $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'} : V(G) \rightarrow V(G)$  using (1.6.2). As is readily verified, the support variety  $V(G)_M \subset V(G)$  of a rational  $G$ -module  $M$  as defined in Definition 4.4 with respect to  $\mathcal{E}$  has image under  $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'}$  the support variety of  $M$  with respect to  $\mathcal{E}'$ .

**Sobaj**

**Example 1.8.** As shown by Sobaje in [21, 4.2], if  $G$  is reductive with  $p \geq h$ , and if  $PSL_p$  is not a factor of  $[G, G]$ , then  $G$  can be given a structure of exponential type by defining  $\mathcal{E}$  to be the exponential map constructed by Seitz in [19, 5.3] on a Borel subgroup and extending to a  $G$ -equivariant map using work of Carlson, Lin, Nakano [2] and McNinch [13]. Moreover, if  $G$  is such a reductive group and if  $H \subset G$  is a parabolic subgroup or the unipotent radical in a parabolic subgroup and if  $\mathfrak{h} = Lie(H)$ , then the restriction of  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{h})$  provides  $H$  with a structure of exponential type.

Recent work of Sobaje suggests that any reductive group  $G$  can be given a structure of exponential type provided that  $p$  is very good for  $G$ .

We provide here the evident definition of a map of linear algebraic groups equipped with structures of exponential type. A natural example of such a map is a closed embedding  $G \subset GL_N$  of exponential type as in Example 1.5. If  $G, G'$  are provided with structures  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$  of exponential type, then the inclusion  $1 \times e : G \rightarrow G \times G'$  (sending  $g \in G$  to  $(g, e) \in G \times G'$ ) and the projection  $pr_1 : G \times G' \rightarrow G$  are maps of exponential type.

**defn-exp**

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $G, G'$  be linear algebraic groups equipped with structures of exponential type  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ . Then a homomorphism of algebraic groups  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is said to be a map of exponential type if the following square commutes:

**diff1**

(1.9.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathbb{G}_a & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} & G \\ df \times id \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}') \times \mathbb{G}_a & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'} & G' \end{array}$$

The following Example 1.10 includes parabolic subgroups of reductive groups (which are semi-direct products of their unipotent radicals and Levi quotients).

**ex:sep**

**Example 1.10.** Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type and assume that  $G$  can be written as the semi-direct product  $G \simeq H \rtimes K$ ;  $H \subset G$  is a reduced, closed subgroup and  $\pi : G \rightarrow K$  admits a splitting  $s : K \rightarrow G$ . If both  $H, s(K) \subset G$  are embeddings of exponential type, then  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/K$  is also a map of exponential type.

We conclude this section by making explicit the actions on  $V(G)$  of pre-composition and post-composition with the Frobenius morphism.

**twist**

**Proposition 1.11.** *Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type. Then precomposition with the Frobenius morphism induces the self-map*

$$(- \circ F) : V(G) \rightarrow V(G), \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B} \circ F}$$

where  $(B_0, B_1, \dots) \circ F = (0, B_0, B_1, \dots)$ .

Furthermore, if  $G \hookrightarrow GL_n$  is an embedding of exponential type defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then post-composition with the Frobenius morphism induces the self-map

$$(F \circ -) : V(G) \rightarrow V(G), \quad \exp_{\underline{B}} \mapsto \exp_{F(\underline{B})}$$

where  $F(B_0, B_1, \dots) = (0, B_0^{(1)}, B_1^{(1)}, \dots)$ . Here,  $B^{(1)}$  is the  $n \times n$ -matrix obtained by raising each entry of  $B$  to the  $p$ -th power.

*Proof.* The identification of  $(- \circ F)$  is immediate from the definition of  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$ .

Assume now that  $G \hookrightarrow GL_n$  is an embedding of exponential type defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . In particular,  $G$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  so that we may view the Frobenius morphism as a self-map  $F : G \rightarrow G$  which thus induces  $(F \circ -) : V(G) \rightarrow V(G)$  which in turn is identified as the restriction of  $F : V(GL_n) \rightarrow V(GL_n)$ .

We verify that

$$F \circ (\exp_B \circ F^s) = \exp_{B^{(1)}} \circ F^{s+1}$$

by establishing this equality as an equality of functors on commutative  $k$ -algebra  $A$ : for any  $a \in A$ ,

$$F((\exp_B \circ F^s)(a)) = (1 + B \cdot a^{p^s} + B^2 \cdot a^{2p^s}/2 + \dots + B^{p-1} \cdot a^{(p-1)p^s}/(p-1)!)^{(1)}$$

equals

$$(\exp_{B^{(1)}} \circ F^{s+1})(a) = 1 + B^{(1)} \cdot a^{p^{s+1}} + (B^{(1)})^2 \cdot a^{2p^{s+1}}/2 + \dots + (B^{(1)})^{p-1} \cdot a^{(p-1)p^{s+1}}/(p-1)!.$$

Consequently,

$$F \circ \exp_{\underline{B}} \circ F^s \equiv F \circ \left( \prod_{s \geq 0} \exp_{B_s} \circ F^s \right) = \prod_{s \geq 0} \exp_{B_s^{(1)}} \circ F^{s+1} \equiv \exp_{F(\underline{B})}.$$

□

**Corollary 1.12.** *As in Proposition 1.11, let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type. Then  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \in \text{pr}_r^{-1}(\{0\})$  if and only if  $\underline{B} = \underline{B}' \circ F^r$  for some  $\underline{B}' \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}))$ .*



## 2. ACTION ON RATIONAL MODULES AT 1-PARAMETER SUBGROUPS

In this section, we begin consideration of rational  $G$ -modules and the role 1-parameter subgroups of  $G$  plays in determining their structure. Much of this section is directed to presenting and justifying the formulation of the action of  $G$  on a rational  $G$ -module at a 1-parameter group (Definition 2.9) for  $G$  a linear algebraic group of exponential type. Because this definition might seem somewhat opaque at first, we treat first the case that  $G = \mathbb{G}_a$ . One important observation is Proposition 2.6 which asserts that for a given rational  $G$ -module  $M$  there is some integer  $r$  independent of the choice of 1-parameter subgroup of  $G$  such that this action only depends upon the first  $r$  terms of the sequence defining a 1-parameter subgroup. We conclude this section with a brief investigation of the “group algebra” of the linear group  $GL_n$ .

We shall find it convenient to have at hand various equivalent formulations of the structure of a rational  $G$ -module as discussed in Proposition 2.2. Such a structure determines the structure given in (2.2.5) of a module over the “group algebra”  $kG$  of  $G$  (also referred to as the “hyperalgebra” of  $G$  as in [3] or the “algebra of distributions” at the identity of  $G$  and denoted  $Dist(G)$  as in [12]).

We begin by recalling the definition of  $kG$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $G$  be a connected affine group scheme of finite type over  $k$ . Denote by  $kG_{(r)}$  the finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra defined as the  $k$ -linear dual of  $k[G_{(r)}]$  whose product is determined by the coproduct structure on  $k[G]$ . The group algebra  $kG$  of  $G$  is the  $k$ -algebra

$$kG \equiv \varinjlim_r kG_{(r)}.$$

**act** **Proposition 2.2.** Let  $G$  be a connected affine group scheme of finite type over  $k$  and  $M$  a  $k$ -vector space. The following structures on  $M$  are equivalent.

(1) The structure

**DeltaM** (2.2.1)  $\Delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes k[G]$

of a comodule for the coordinate algebra  $k[G]$  of  $G$ .

(2) The structure of functorial (with respect to commutative  $k$ -algebras  $A$ )  $A$ -linear group actions

**thetaM** (2.2.2)  $\Theta_{M,A} : G(A) \times (M \otimes A) \rightarrow (M \otimes A).$

(3) The structure of a  $k[G]$ -linear group action:

**spec** (2.2.3)  $\Theta_{k[G]} : G(k[G]) \times (M \otimes k[G]) \rightarrow (M \otimes k[G]).$

(4) For  $M$  finite dimensional, equipped with a basis  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ , the structure of a map

**rhoM** (2.2.4)  $\rho_M : G \rightarrow GL_n$

of group schemes (over  $k$ ).

The vector space  $M$  equipped with one of the equivalent structures listed above is said to be a rational representation of  $G$ , or (more briefly) a rational  $G$ -module. Such a structure determines a locally finite  $kG$ -structure on  $M$  given by

**rat-act** (2.2.5)  $kG \otimes M \rightarrow M, \quad (\phi \in kG, m \in M) \mapsto \sum_i \phi(f_i) m_i, \text{ where } \Delta_M(m) = \sum_i m_i \otimes f_i.$



*Proof.* Given the coproduct  $\Delta_M$  as in (2.2.1), the functorial pairings  $\Theta_{M,A}$  (2.2.2) are given by

$$\boxed{\text{pairing}} \quad (2.2.6) \quad (x : k[G] \rightarrow A, m \otimes 1) \mapsto (id_M \otimes x)(\Delta(m)).$$

The pairing  $\Theta_{k[G]}$  of (2.2.3) is a special case of (2.2.2) with  $A = k[G]$ . On the other hand, the pairing  $\Theta_{k[G]}$  of (2.2.3) determines the pairing (2.2.1) by

$$(\Delta_M)(m) = \Theta_{k[G]}(id \times m \otimes 1) \in M \otimes k[G].$$

In particular, the structures of (2.2.1), (2.2.2), and (2.2.3) are equivalent.

The comodule structure on  $M$  given by (2.2.1) determines the  $kG$ -action on  $M$  as made explicit in (2.2.5). This  $kG$ -action on  $M$  must be locally finite, since the image under  $\Delta_M$  of any finite dimensional subspace of  $M$  is necessarily a finite dimensional subspace of  $M \otimes k[G]$ . For  $M$  finite dimensional equipped with a basis  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ , the adjoints of the pairings (2.2.2) are functorial (with respect to  $A$ ) group homomorphisms  $G(A) \rightarrow Aut_A(M \otimes A) \simeq GL_n(A)$  which is equivalent to the structure  $\rho_M : G \rightarrow GL_n$  of (2.2.4).

To complete the proof of the proposition, it suffices to assume that  $M$  is finite dimensional and show that the structure  $\rho_M : G \rightarrow GL_n$  of (2.2.4) determines the coproduct  $\Delta_M$  of (2.2.1). We do this by identifying  $M$  as a vector space with  $V_n$  (the defining rational  $GL_n$ -module) and taking  $\Delta_M$  to be the composition  $(1 \otimes \rho_M)^* \circ \Delta_{V_n} : V_n \rightarrow V_n \otimes k[GL_n] \rightarrow V_n \otimes k[G]$ .  $\square$

For the example  $G = \mathbb{G}_a$ , we proceed to identify explicitly the multiplication by elements of  $k\mathbb{G}_a$  on a rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module  $M$ .

$\boxed{\text{ex-ga}}$  **Example 2.3.** The group algebra  $k\mathbb{G}_a$  is given as

$$k\mathbb{G}_a \equiv k[u_0, \dots, u_i, \dots] / (u_i^p) \subset k[T]^*$$

where  $u_i$  applied to  $f \in k[\mathbb{G}_a] = k[T]$  reads off the coefficient of  $T^{p^i}$ . Here  $(u_i^p)$  denotes the ideal of  $k[u_0, \dots, u_i, \dots]$  generated by  $\{u_0^p, \dots, u_i^p, \dots\}$ . On group algebras, we have

$$i_{r*} : k\mathbb{G}_{a(r)} = k[u_0, \dots, u_{r-1}] / (u_i^p) \subset k[u_0, \dots, u_n, \dots] / (u_i^p) = k\mathbb{G}_a,$$

$$\boxed{\text{Fu}} \quad (2.3.1) \quad F_* : k\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow k\mathbb{G}_a, \quad F_*(u_i) = u_{i-1} \text{ if } i > 0, \quad F_*(u_0) = 0.$$

Let  $\Delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes k[T]$  be the defining coaction of a rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module  $M$ .

For  $m \in M$  and any  $v_j = \frac{u_0^{j_0} \dots u_{r-1}^{j_{r-1}}}{j_0! \dots j_{r-1}!} \in k\mathbb{G}_a$  (with  $j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} j_\ell p^\ell$ ,  $0 \leq j_\ell < p$ ), the action of  $v_j \in k\mathbb{G}_a = k[u_0, \dots, u_i, \dots] / (u_i^p)$  on  $M$  is given by

$$\boxed{\text{nabla}} \quad (2.3.2) \quad \Delta_M(m) = \sum_{j \geq 0} v_j(m) \otimes T^j.$$

Here, the sum is finite for each  $m \in M$ . If  $M$  is finite dimensional, then  $v_j(m)$  is non-zero only for finitely many values of  $j$  as  $m$  ranges over a basis for  $M$ ; thus  $u_s$  acts trivially on  $M$  for  $s$  sufficiently large. The image of each  $u_s$  in  $End_k(M)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, and the  $u_s$ 's pairwise commute; thus, the  $v_j$  are also  $p$ -nilpotent and pairwise commute.

The structure  $\Theta_{k[T]} : \mathbb{G}_a(k[T]) \times (M \otimes k[T]) \rightarrow (M \otimes k[T])$  of (2.2.3) is given by

$$\boxed{\text{Theta}} \quad (2.3.3) \quad \Theta_{k[T]}(T, m \otimes 1) = \sum_{j \geq 0} v_j(m) T^j.$$

For a  $kG_{a(r)}$ -module  $M$ , there is a natural choice of  $p$ -nilpotent operator on  $M$  associated to a (infinitesimal) 1-parameter subgroup  $\mu : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  (see Definition 3.1). After much experimentation, we have identified the following choice of  $p$ -nilpotent operator for a rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module  $M$  and a 1-parameter subgroup  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ . This definition leads to Definition 2.9 formulated for  $G$  a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type. We shall see in Proposition 3.8 how the action given in Definition 2.4 is related to the action at infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroups  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  as first considered in [23].

Gaaction

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $M$  be a rational module for the additive group  $\mathbb{G}_a$  and  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  be a 1-parameter subgroup given by the (finite) sequence  $\underline{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_s, \dots)$ . The (nilpotent) action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  on  $M$  at  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  is defined to be the action of

gaact

$$(2.4.1) \quad \sum_{s \geq 0} (\sigma_{a_s})_*(u_s) = \sum_{s \geq 0} a_s^{p^s} u_s \in k\mathbb{G}_a.$$

The equality  $(\sigma_b)_*(u_s) = b^{p^s} u_s$  is confusing at first glance. The reader can check this as follows:  $\sigma_b : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  induces  $\sigma_b^* : k[T] \rightarrow k[T]$ ,  $T \mapsto b \cdot T$ . Thus,  $\sigma_b^*(T^{p^s}) = b^{p^s} \cdot T^{p^s}$ , so that reading off the coefficient of  $T^{p^s}$  in the polynomial  $\sigma_b^*(f(T))$  is the same operation as reading off  $b^{p^s}$  times the coefficient of  $T^{p^s}$  in the polynomial  $f(T)$ .

**Remark 2.5.** The action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  on  $M$  at  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  is *not* given by the action on  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}^*(M)$  of some naturally chosen element of  $k\mathbb{G}_a$ . Indeed, there does not seem to be a reasonable choice of  $\phi \in k\mathbb{G}_a$  which would yield  $(\sigma_{\underline{a}})_*(\phi)$  as a suitable alternative to  $\sum_{s \geq 0} a_s^{p^s} u_s$ .

As we observed in Example 2.3, the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  on a finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module  $M$  involves only finitely many  $u_s \in k\mathbb{G}_a$ . In other words, if  $M$  is finite dimensional, then there exists some  $r$  such that the action of  $u_s$  on  $M$  is trivial for all  $s \geq r$ . We next verify a similar statement for finite dimensional rational  $G$  modules whenever  $G$  is equipped with a structure of exponential type. In the terminology of Definition 4.5, this proposition verifies that every finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module has bounded “exponential degree”.

bound

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type and let  $M$  be a finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module. Then there exists an integer  $r$  such that  $(\mathcal{E}_B)_*(u_s)$  acts trivially on  $M$  for all  $s \geq r$ , all  $B \in \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  be the map giving  $G$  the structure of exponential type and consider the composition

$$\mathcal{E}^* \circ \Delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes k[G] \rightarrow M \otimes k[\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})] \otimes k[T].$$

We choose  $r$  such that the image of the composition lies in the subspace  $M \otimes k[\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})] \otimes k[T]_{< p^r}$ , where  $k[T]_{< p^r}$  is the subspace of  $k[T]$  of polynomials of degree  $< p^r$ . Then for any  $B \in \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})(k)$  (i.e., any  $k$ -point of  $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})$ ), composition with evaluation at  $B$  determines

$$\mathcal{E}_B^* = ev_B \circ \mathcal{E}^* \circ \Delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes k[T]_{< p^r}.$$

Since the action of  $(\mathcal{E}_B)_*(u_s)$  is given by composing  $\mathcal{E}_B^*$  with the linear map  $1 \otimes u_s : M \otimes k[T] \rightarrow M$  (i.e., with evaluation at  $T^{p^s}$ ), the proposition follows.  $\square$

**ex-poly**

**Example 2.7.** Let  $M$  be a finite dimensional *polynomial*  $GL_n$  module of degree  $d$  (see [11]); thus, the comodule structure for  $M$  has the form

$$\Delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes k[M_n]_d \subset M \otimes k[GL_n]$$

where  $k[M_n]_d$  is the coalgebra of algebraic functions of degree  $d$  on  $M_n \simeq \mathbb{A}^{n^2}$ . The map  $exp : \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \rightarrow GL_n$  extends to a map

$$exp : \mathbb{G}_a \times M_n \rightarrow M_n, \quad (s, A) \mapsto (1 + sA + \cdots + \frac{s^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} A^{p-1})$$

whose map on coordinate algebras  $exp^* : k[M_n] \rightarrow k[M_n] \otimes k[T]$  sends  $X_{i,j}$  to a polynomial in  $T$  (with coefficients in  $k[M_n]$ ) of degree  $< p$ .

Consequently, the composition

$$exp^* \circ \Delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes k[M_n]_d \rightarrow M \otimes k[M_n] \otimes k[T]$$

when evaluated at any  $A \in M_n$  has image contained in  $M \otimes k[T]_{\leq (p-1)d}$ . Thus, the action of  $(exp_A)_*(v_j)$  on  $M$  (given by the composition of  $ev_A \circ exp^* \circ \Delta_M$  with reading off the coefficient of  $T^j$ ) is trivial provided that  $j > (p-1)d$ . This explicit bound for the vanishing of  $(exp_A)_*(u_r)$  (namely, for all  $r$  such that  $p^r > (p-1)d$ ) is stronger than the bound (5.0.2) given in a more general context at the beginning of Section 5. The action of the product  $[(exp_A)_*(v_j)] \cdot [(exp_A)_*(v_{j'})]$  is computed by applying  $1 \otimes v_j \otimes v_{j'}$  to the image of  $\Delta_T \circ exp_A^* \circ \Delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes k[T] \otimes k[T]$ . This enables us to conclude that the action of  $(exp_A)_*(v_j)$  on  $M$  has  $(p-1)$ -st power equal to 0 if  $j > d$ . In particular, if  $r$  satisfies  $p^r > d$  (but not necessarily satisfies  $p^r > (p-1)d$ ), then the action of  $(exp_A)_*(u_r)$  has  $(p-1)$ -st power 0.

We require the following proposition to justify our definition in Definition 2.9 of the action of  $G$  on  $M$  at a 1-parameter subgroups  $\mathcal{E}_B : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$ .

**commute**

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type and let  $M$  be a finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module. For any pair  $B_1, B_2$  of commuting elements of  $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})$  and any pair  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : k[\mathbb{G}_a] \rightarrow k$  of  $p$ -nilpotent elements of  $k[\mathbb{G}_a]$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}_{B_1})_*(\phi_1), (\mathcal{E}_{B_2})_*(\phi_2)$  are commuting,  $p$ -nilpotent elements of  $kG$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(\mathcal{E}_{B_i})_* : k[\mathbb{G}_a] \rightarrow kG$  is an algebra homomorphism,  $(\mathcal{E}_{B_i})_*(\phi_i)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent whenever  $\phi$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.

Consider the commutative diagram

**multdiag**

(2.8.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{B_1} \times \mathcal{E}_{B_2}} & G \times G & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & G \\ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau & \nearrow \bullet & \\ \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{B_2} \times \mathcal{E}_{B_1}} & G \times G & & \end{array}$$

where  $\tau$  is the interchange involution of  $G \times G$  and  $\bullet$  is the multiplication map of  $G$ . Composing the (commutative) diagram of functions induced by (2.8.1) with the (commuting) functionals  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  yields the following commutative diagram

**multdiag**

(2.8.2)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} k[G] & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & k[G] \otimes k[G] & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{B_2}^* \times \mathcal{E}_{B_1}^*} & k[T] \times k[T] & \xrightarrow{\phi_2 \otimes \phi_1} & k \\ & \searrow \Delta & \downarrow \tau^* & & \downarrow \tau^* & \nearrow \phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 & \\ & & k[G] \otimes k[G] & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{B_1}^* \times \mathcal{E}_{B_2}^*} & k[T] \times k[T] & & \end{array}$$

The asserted commutativity is the statement of the equality of upper horizontal composition of (2.8.2) and the composition involving the lower horizontal map.  $\square$

Propositions 2.6 and 2.8 enable us to conclude that  $\sum_{s \geq 0} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s)$  is a well defined  $p$ -nilpotent operator whenever  $\underline{B} = (B_0, \dots, B_s, \dots) \in C_\infty(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}))$ .

**Gaction**

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $M$  a rational module for the linear group  $G$  provided with a structure of exponential type. The ( $p$ -nilpotent) action of  $G$  on  $M$  at  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  is defined to be the action of

**gact**

$$(2.9.1) \quad \sum_{s \geq 0} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s) \in kG$$

on  $M$  for any  $\underline{B} \in C_\infty(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}))$ .

**Xij**

**Example 2.10.** Fix some  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ , and let  $\psi_{i,j} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow GL_N$  be the root subgroup given by the map  $(\psi_{i,j})^* : k[GL_N] \rightarrow k[T]$  with  $(\psi_{i,j})_*(X_{s,s}) = 1$  and  $(\psi_{i,j})_*(X_{s,t}) = \delta_{i,s} \delta_{j,t} \cdot T$  for  $s \neq t$ . Thus,  $d\psi_{i,j} : \mathfrak{g}_a \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_N$  sends  $b$  to the  $N \times N$  matrix whose only non-zero entry is  $b$  in the  $(i,j)$ -position. Then  $\psi_{i,j}$  is an embedding of exponential type and one readily checks that

$$(\psi_{i,j})_* \left( \sum_{s \geq 0} (\sigma_{a_s})_*(u_s) \right) = \sum_{s \geq 0} (\exp(d\psi_{i,j}(a_s)))_*(u_s) \in kGL_N$$

for any  $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{A}^\infty$ .

Consequently, if  $M$  is a rational  $GL_N$  module and  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  is a 1-parameter subgroup, then the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  on  $\psi_{i,j}^*(M)$  at  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  as defined in Definition 2.4 equals the action of  $GL_N$  on  $M$  at  $\psi_{i,j} \circ \sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow GL_N$  as defined in Definition 2.9.

We have the following functoriality extending Example 2.10.

**push-prop**

**Proposition 2.11.** Let  $G, G'$  be linear algebraic groups of exponential type and let  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  be a map of exponential type. Then for any  $\underline{B} \in C_\infty(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}))$ ,

**push**

$$(2.11.1) \quad f_* \left( \sum_{s \geq 0} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s) \right) = \sum_{s \geq 0} (\mathcal{E}'_{(df)_*(B_s)})_*(u_s)$$

In this situation, for any rational  $G'$  module  $M$  and any 1-parameter subgroup  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$ , the action of  $G$  on  $f^*(M)$  at  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  equals the action of  $G'$  on  $M$  at  $f \circ \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} = \mathcal{E}'_{(df)_*(\underline{B})} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G'$ .

*Proof.* The equality (2.11.1) follows from the equality

**equa**

$$(2.11.2) \quad \mathcal{E}'_{(df)_*(\underline{B})} = f \circ \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G'$$

which follows from (1.9.1). Equality 2.11.2 tells us that the action of  $G'$  on  $M$  at  $f \circ \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  is the action of  $\sum_{s \geq 0} (\mathcal{E}'_{(df)_*(B_s)})_*(u_s)$ . On the other hand, the action of any  $\phi \in kG$  on  $f^*M$  is the action of  $f_*(\phi)$  on  $M$  essentially by the definition of  $f^*M$ . This establishes the second statement.  $\square$

Let  $k \otimes_\phi M$  denote the base change of  $M$  along the  $p$ -th power map  $\phi : k \rightarrow k$ . The Frobenius twist  $M^{(1)}$  of a rational representation  $M$  of  $G$  is the  $k$  vector space  $k \otimes_\phi M$  with its natural  $G^{(1)}$ -structure; restricting along the Frobenius morphism  $G \rightarrow G^{(1)}$  provides  $M^{(1)}$  with the structure of a rational  $G$ -module. If  $G$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then we employ the natural identification of  $G^{(1)}$  with  $G$  so that the Frobenius morphism becomes an endomorphism  $F : G \rightarrow G$ ; moreover, the action

of  $G^{(1)}$  on  $M^{(1)}$  can be naturally identified with the pull-back along  $F : G \rightarrow G$  of the given action of  $G$  on  $M$ ; we identify this pull-back of  $M$  along  $F$  with the rational  $G$ -module  $M^{(1)}$ . The reader can find an exposition of such Frobenius structures in [10].

As a companion to Proposition 2.11, we have the following additional functoriality of our actions. The reader should observe that the Frobenius morphism  $F : G \rightarrow G$  is far from a map of exponential type; indeed,  $dF : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is the 0-map.

**func-frob**

**Proposition 2.12.** *Let  $i : G \hookrightarrow GL_n$  be an embedding of exponential type defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and let  $F : G \rightarrow G$  be the Frobenius endomorphism. Let  $M$  be a rational  $G$ -module.*

(1) *For any  $\underline{B} = (B_0, B_1, \dots) \in C_\infty(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}))$ ,*

**push-frob**

$$(2.12.1) \quad F_* \left( \sum_{s \geq 0} (\exp_{B_s})_*(u_s) \right) = \sum_{s \geq 1} (\exp_{(B_s^{(1)})})_*(u_{s-1}).$$

(2) *For any rational  $G$ -module  $M$  with Frobenius twist  $M^{(1)} = F^*(M)$ , the action of  $G$  (identified with  $G^{(1)}$ ) on  $M^{(1)}$  at  $\exp_{\underline{B}}$  equals the action of  $G$  on  $M$  at  $F \circ \exp_{\underline{B}}$ .*

*Consequently, the action of  $G$  on  $M^{(1)}$  at  $\exp_{\underline{B}}$  is given by  $\sum_{s \geq 1} (\exp_{(B_s^{(1)})})_*(u_{s-1})$ .*

*Proof.* The equality  $F \circ (\exp_B) = \exp_{B^{(1)}} \circ F$  was established in the proof of Proposition 1.11. This leads to the equalities

$$F_*((\exp_{B_s})_*(u_s)) = (\exp_{B_s^{(1)}} \circ F)_*(u_s) = (\exp_{B_s^{(1)}})_*(u_{s-1})$$

which yields (2.12.1) thanks to (2.3.1).

Once we identify the rational  $G$ -module  $M^{(1)}$  with the pullback of  $M$  along  $F : G \rightarrow G$ , then the action of  $G$  on  $M^{(1)}$  at  $\exp_{\underline{B}}$  is post-composition with the Frobenius morphism applied to the action of  $G$  on  $M$ . Thus, assertion (2) follows from Proposition 2.11.  $\square$

### 3. INVARIANTS FOR RATIONAL $\mathbb{G}_a$ -MODULES

**sec:gatype**

In this section, we use the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  on a rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module at 1-parameter subgroups  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  (as introduced in Definition 2.4) to define invariants of rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -modules. Considering the special case  $G = \mathbb{G}_a$  is a good guide to Section 4 in which we consider invariants for rational  $G$ -modules for  $G$  a linear algebraic group of exponential type. The simplest and perhaps most useful invariant is the support variety  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  of a finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module  $M$  as defined in Definition 3.9. Theorem 3.10 presents some of the basic properties of  $M \mapsto V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$ . This support variety admits the refinement given in Definition 3.15, properties of which are presented in Theorem 3.16.

Most of the properties of  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  are derived from corresponding properties for the support varieties for  $M$  restricted to the Frobenius kernels  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \subset \mathbb{G}_a$ . Thus, a key (and confusing) comparison is made in Proposition 3.8 between the “linearization” of actions at infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroups of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  obtained by “twisting by  $\lambda$ ” the restrictions of a given 1-parameter subgroup  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  and the action at  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  as defined in Definition 2.4.

Unlike the rest of this section which concerns the special case  $G = \mathbb{G}_a$ , we recall the following definition of the (nilpotent) action at an infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroup  $\mu : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow G$  for any affine group scheme (see, for example [23]); in

Section 4, we shall refer back to this action in the case that  $G$  is a linear algebraic group of exponential type.

If  $V$  is a  $k$  vector space of dimension  $n$  and  $\phi$  is a  $k$ -linear endomorphism of  $V$ , then we employ the notation

$$JT(\phi, V) = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i [i], \quad \sum_i c_i \cdot i = n$$

for the Jordan type of  $\phi : V \rightarrow V$ , indicating that the canonical Jordan form of  $\phi$  consists of  $c_i$  blocks of size  $i$ .

**infact**

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $G$  be an affine algebraic group scheme,  $\mu : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow G$  be an infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroup of  $G$ , and  $M$  be a  $kG_{(r)}$ -module (e.g, the restriction of a rational  $G$ -module). Then the **action of  $G_{(r)}$  on  $M$  at  $\mu$**  is defined to be the action of  $\mu_*(u_{r-1}) \in kG_{(r)}$  on  $M$ , where  $u_{r-1} \in k\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$  is the functional  $k[T]/T^{p^r} \rightarrow k$  which sends  $f(T)$  to its coefficient of  $T^{p^{r-1}}$ .

The **Jordan type** of a finite dimensional  $G_{(r)}$ -module  $M$  at  $\mu : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow G$ ,  $JT_{G_{(r)}, M}(\mu)$ , is defined to be the Jordan type of the  $p$ -nilpotent operator  $\mu_*(u_{r-1})$  on  $M$ ,

$$JT_{G_{(r)}, M}(\mu) \equiv JT(\mu_*(u_{r-1}), M).$$

The **support variety** (or, rank variety) of a  $G_{(r)}$ -module  $M$  is defined to be the conical, (reduced) subvariety  $V_r(G_{(r)})_M \subset V_r(G_{(r)}) = V_r(G)$  consisting of those  $\mu : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$  with the property that  $(\mu_*(u_{r-1}))^*(M)$  is *not free* as  $(k[u_{r-1}]/u_{r-1}^p)$ -module; if  $M$  is finite dimensional, then  $V_r(G_{(r)})_M \subset V_r(G)$  is closed [23, 6.1].

We now restrict to the special case that our linear algebraic group  $G$  equals  $\mathbb{G}_a$  and refer the reader to our initial discussion of rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -modules in Example 2.3. Before we begin to introduce invariants for rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -modules using 1-parameter subgroups  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ , we describe in the following proposition how to realize rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -modules through 1-parameter subgroups.

**prop:constr**

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $V_n$  be a vector space over  $k$  of dimension  $n$ .*

- (1) *Once a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  for  $V$  is chosen, then a rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module structure on  $V$  is equivalent to a 1-parameter subgroup  $\exp_{\underline{A}} = \sum_{s \geq 0} \exp_{A_s} \circ F^s : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow GL_n$ . In this equivalence, the rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module is identified with  $\exp_{\underline{A}}^*(V)$ , the pull-back via  $\exp_{\underline{A}}$  of the defining representation of  $GL_n$ .*
- (2) *Granted this choice of basis for  $V$ , a  $k\mathbb{G}_a$ -module structure on  $V$  is specified by requiring  $u_s \in k\mathbb{G}_a$  to act as  $(\exp_{\underline{A}})_*(u_s)$  on  $V$ . Here, one views  $(\exp_{\underline{A}})_*(u_s) \in kGL_n$  as acting upon the defining  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V$  for  $GL_n$ .*
- (3) *Given a  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ -module structure on  $V$  for some  $r > 0$ , there is a natural extension of this structure to a structure of a rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module on  $V$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion is that of Proposition 2.2(4). The second assertion follows from the observation that the action of  $u_s$  on  $\exp_{\underline{A}}^*(V)$  equals the action of  $(\exp_{\underline{A}})_*(u_s)$  on  $V$ , together with the identification of  $k\mathbb{G}_a$  given in Example 2.3.

To extend a  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ -structure on  $V$  to a rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module structure, we use the evident splitting of group algebras  $k\mathbb{G}_a = k[u_0, \dots, u_{r-1}]/(u_i^p) \rightarrow k[u_0, \dots, u_n, \dots]/(u_i^p) = k\mathbb{G}_a$  which sends  $u_s \in k\mathbb{G}_a$  to 0 if  $s \geq r$  and to  $u_s$  for  $s < r$ .

Alternatively, given a  $k[\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}]$ -comodule structure  $\Delta_V : V \rightarrow V \otimes k[\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}]$ , we construct a  $k[\mathbb{G}_a]$ -comodule structure on  $V$  as follows. Denote by  $\tau_r : k[\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}] = k[T]/T^{p^r} \rightarrow k[T] = k[\mathbb{G}_a]$  the map of coalgebras defined by sending  $\overline{f(T)} \in k[T]/T^{p^r}$  to the unique polynomial  $f(T)$  of degree  $< p^r$  whose reduction modulo  $(T^{p^r})$  equals  $\overline{f(T)}$ . Then

$$\tau_r \circ \Delta_V : V \rightarrow V \otimes k[\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}] \rightarrow V \otimes k[\mathbb{G}_a]$$

is an extension of  $\Delta_V$  to a  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -comodule structure on  $V$ .  $\square$

One simple corollary of Proposition 3.2 is the following.

**wild**

**Corollary 3.3.** *The category of finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -modules is wild.*

*Proof.* The group algebra  $k\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$  is isomorphic as an algebra to  $kE$ , where  $E$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group of rank  $r$ . Thus, the category of finite dimensional  $k\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ -modules is isomorphic to the category of finite dimensional  $kE$ -modules. The latter is well known to be wild if  $r \geq 2$  with  $p$  odd,  $r \geq 3$  for  $p = 2$ . Proposition 3.2(3) now implies that the category of finite dimensional  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -modules is wild.  $\square$

Our goal is to investigate a given finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module  $M$  in terms of its behavior along 1-parameter subgroups  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ . We first recall the following identification of the “linearization of the action” of the restriction along an infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_a$ .

**linapprox**

**Proposition 3.4.** *(Suslin-Friedlander-Bendel [23, 6.5]) Consider the infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroup  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  given by the  $r$ -tuple  $(a_0, \dots, a_{r-1}) \in \mathbb{A}^r$  as in Example 1.3. Then*

$$(3.4.1) \quad \sigma_{\underline{a}*}(u_{r-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{r-1-i}^{p^i} u_i + \text{higher order terms},$$

where the higher order terms in (3.4) are those which are not linear in the  $\{u_i\}$ ’s.

We point out that non-linear terms do occur in (3.4.1) for  $r \geq 2$ : as observed in [23, 6.5],  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_{a(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  has the property that the expression for  $\sigma_{\underline{a}*}(u_2)$  is a sum of terms including non-zero multiples of  $u_0^i u_1^{p-i}$  for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i < p$  associated to reading off the coefficients of  $t^{p^2}$  in the expressions for  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}^*(t^i)$ .

The “reversal” of indices of the coefficients occurring in (3.4.1) when compared to the action of (2.4.1) suggests the introduction of the following operation on sequences.

**lambda**

**Definition 3.5.** We consider the morphism

$$\lambda_r : \mathbb{A}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^r(k), \quad (a_0, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_r, \dots) \mapsto (a_{r-1}, a_{r-2}, \dots, a_0);$$

we also let  $\lambda_r$  denote the associated map  $\lambda_r : V(\mathbb{G}_a) \rightarrow V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)(k)$  sending  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  to  $\sigma_{\lambda(\underline{a})} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ .

For each  $c \geq 0$  we consider the morphisms

$$\lambda_{r+c,r} : V_{r+c}(\mathbb{G}_a) \rightarrow V_r(\mathbb{G}_a), \quad \sigma_{\underline{a}} \mapsto \sigma_{\lambda_r(\underline{a})}.$$

For any  $\underline{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_{r+c-1}) \in \mathbb{A}^{r+c}$ ,  $c \geq 0$ , we set  $q_{r+c,r}(\underline{a}) = (a_c, \dots, a_{r+c-1})$  and consider the morphisms

$$q_{r+c,r} : V_{r+c}(\mathbb{G}_a) \rightarrow V_r(\mathbb{G}_a), \quad \sigma_{\underline{a}} \mapsto \sigma_{q_{r+c,r}(\underline{a})}.$$



**invlim****Remark 3.6.** For any  $r > 0$ ,  $c \geq 0$ , we have the following commutative diagram**limsq**

(3.6.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
V(\mathbb{G}_a) & \xrightarrow{=} & V(\mathbb{G}_a) \\
\downarrow pr_{r+c} & & \downarrow pr_r \\
V_{r+c}(\mathbb{G}_a)(k) & \xrightarrow{pr_{r+c,r}} & V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)(k) \\
\downarrow \lambda_{r+c,r+c} & & \downarrow \lambda_{r,r} \\
V_{r+c}(\mathbb{G}_a)(k) & \xrightarrow{q_{r+c,r}} & V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)(k)
\end{array}$$

the composition of whose left vertical arrows is  $\Lambda_{r+c}$  and the composition of whose right vertical arrows is  $\lambda_r$ . Consequently, the space  $V(\mathbb{G}_a) \subset \varprojlim_r V_r(G)(k)$  which maps into the inverse limit of  $\{pr_{r+c,r} : V_{r+c}(\mathbb{G}_a)(k) \rightarrow V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)(k)\}$  through maps  $pr_r : V(\mathbb{G}_a) \rightarrow V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)(k)$  can also be identified as a subspace (with the subspace topology) of the inverse limit of  $\{q_{r+c,r} : V_{r+c}(\mathbb{G}_a)(k) \rightarrow V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)(k)\}$  through maps  $\lambda_r : V(\mathbb{G}_a) \rightarrow V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)(k)$ .

Moreover, we observe that

**pr=**

(3.6.2)

$$pr_r = \lambda_{r+c,r} \circ \lambda_{r+c} : V(\mathbb{G}_a) \rightarrow V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)(k)$$

and that  $\lambda_{r,r}$  is an involution.

**finitedegree**

**Definition 3.7.** A rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module  $M$  is said to have degree  $< p^r$  if the coaction  $\Delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes k[T]$  satisfies the condition that  $\Delta_M(M) \subset M \otimes k[T]_{< p^r}$ .

In particular, any finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module  $M$  has degree  $< p^r$  for sufficiently large  $r$ .

Since (generalized) support varieties are determined by the linearizations of  $p$ -nilpotent operators (in the sense of [9, 1.13]), the following proposition demonstrates a promising connection between actions at 1-parameter subgroups and infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroups.

**com-Ga**

**Proposition 3.8.** Let  $M$  be a rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module of degree  $< p^r$ . Then for any  $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{A}^\infty$ , the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  on  $M$  at  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  (see (2.4.1)) equals the linearization of the action of  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$  on  $M$  at  $\sigma_{\lambda_r(\underline{a})} : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ .

In other words, for  $\underline{b} = (b_0, \dots, b_{r-1}) = \lambda_r(\underline{a})$ , the action of  $\sum_{s \geq 0} a_s^{p^s} u_s$  on  $M$  equals the action of  $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} b_{r-1-i}^{p^i} u_i$ , which is the sum of the linear terms of  $\sigma_{\underline{b}^*}(u_{r-1})$  as in (3.4.1).

*Proof.* Recall that  $u_r \in k[T]^*$  sends a polynomial  $p(T)$  to the coefficient of  $T^{p^r}$  of  $p(T)$ . By (2.2.6), the action of  $u_s$  on  $M$  is given by sending  $m \in M$  to  $(id_M \otimes u_s)(\Delta_M(m))$ . Thus, for  $r$  chosen sufficiently large as in the statement of the proposition, the action of  $u_r$  on  $M$  is trivial.

Consequently, the action of  $\sum_{s \geq 0} a_s^{p^s} u_s$  on  $M$  equals the action of  $\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} a_s^{p^s} u_s$  on  $M$ . Unravelling the definition of  $\lambda_r(-)$ , we easily see that  $\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} a_s^{p^s} u_s = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} b_{r-1-i}^{p^i} u_i$ , thereby proving the proposition.  $\square$

The following definition of support varieties for finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -modules will serve as our model in the next section for the definition of support varieties for more general linear algebraic groups.

supportGa

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $M$  be a rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module. We define the **support variety** of  $M$  as the subset  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M \subset V(\mathbb{G}_a)$  with the subspace topology consisting of those 1-parameter subgroups  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  at which the action (in the sense of Definition 2.9) is not free; in other words, the action of  $M$  of  $\sum_{s \geq 0} (\sigma_{a_s})_*(u_s) \in k\mathbb{G}_a$  is not free.

If  $M$  is finite dimensional, then  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M \subset V(\mathbb{G}_a)$  consists of those 1-parameter subgroups  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  at which the Jordan type of  $M$  at  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  (in the sense of Definition 3.12 below) has some block of size less than  $p$ .

We provide a list of good properties for  $M \mapsto V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$ , using the analogues of these properties established for infinitesimal group schemes (see [23]). For the first property, we require that  $M$  has degree  $< p^r$  for some  $r > 0$ , for the second we require the stronger condition that  $M$  be finite dimensional (also required in the case of rational modules for infinitesimal group schemes), and for the remaining properties we place no condition on  $M$ .

Ga-items

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $M$  be a rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module.*

- (1) *If  $M$  has degree  $< p^r$ , then  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M = \lambda_r^{-1}(V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)_M(k))$  (which equals  $pr_r^{-1}(\lambda_{r,r}(V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)_M(k)))$ ).*
- (2) *If  $M$  is finite dimensional, then  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M \subset V(\mathbb{G}_a)$  is closed.*
- (3)  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_{M \oplus N} = V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M \cup V(\mathbb{G}_a)_N$ .
- (4)  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_{M \otimes N} = V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M \cap V(\mathbb{G}_a)_N$ .
- (5) *If  $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence of rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -modules, then the support variety  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_{M_i}$  of one of the  $M_i$ 's is contained in the union of the support varieties of the other two.*
- (6)  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_{M^{(1)}} = \{\sigma_{(a_0, a_1, \dots)} \in V(\mathbb{G}_a) : \sigma_{(a_1^p, a_2^p, \dots)} \in V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M\}$ .
- (7) *For any  $r > 0$ , the restriction of  $M$  to  $k\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$  is injective (equivalently, projective) if and only if the intersection of  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  with the subset  $\{\sigma_{\underline{a}} : a_s = 0, s \geq r\} \subset V(\mathbb{G}_a)$  equals  $\{\sigma_{\underline{0}}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Comparing Definitions 3.1 and 3.9, we see that to prove Property (1) it suffices to compare the actions of  $\sigma_{\lambda_r(\underline{a})}*(u_{r-1})$  and of  $\sum_{s \geq 0} (\sigma_{a_s})_*(u_s)$ . Thus, Property (1) for  $M$  finite dimensional is a consequence of Proposition 3.8 and [9, 2.7], which compares maximal Jordan types for finite dimensional modules pulled-back via a flat map and its linearization. For  $M$  infinite dimensional, we use the finite dimensional case just proved together with [7, 4.6] which asserts that comparing projectivity pulled back along two  $\pi$ -points for all finite dimensional representations suffices to compare projectivity of pull-backs for arbitrary representations. Property (2) follows from property (1), the fact that  $V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  is closed in  $V_r(G)$  whenever  $M$  is finite dimensional, and the defining property of the topology on  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)$ .

Properties (3), (4), and (5) are readily checked by checking at one  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} \in V(\mathbb{G}_a)$  at a time. Namely, for any  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} \in V(\mathbb{G}_a)$ , we restrict the action of  $k\mathbb{G}_a$  on  $M$  to  $k[u]/u^p$ , where  $k[u]/u^p \rightarrow k\mathbb{G}_a$  is given by sending  $u$  to  $\sum_{s \geq 0} a^{p^s} u_s$ . Thus, the verification at some  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} \in V(\mathbb{G}_a)$  reduces to the verification of these properties for  $k[u]/u^p$ -modules, which is essentially trivial.

Property (6) follows from Proposition 2.12 which tells us that the action of  $\sigma_{(a_0, a_1, \dots)}$  on  $M^{(1)}$  equals the action of  $\sigma_{(a_1^p, a_2^p, \dots)}$  on  $M$ .

For any  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  with  $a_s = 0$  for  $s \geq r$ , Proposition 3.8 tells us that the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  on  $M$  at  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  equals the linearization of the action of  $(\sigma_{\lambda_r(\underline{a})})_*(u_{r-1})$ ; as shown in

[7, 4.6] (for possibly infinite dimensional modules), one of these actions is free if and only if the other is. Since  $\lambda_r$  is an involution on the set of involutions  $\underline{a}$  with  $a_s = 0$  for  $s \geq r$ , the condition that the action of  $(\sigma_{\lambda_r(\underline{a})})_*(u_{r-1})$  on  $M$  is free for all  $\underline{a} \neq 0$  with  $a_s = 0$  for  $s \geq r$  is equivalent to the condition that  $M$  is injective as a  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ -module. As defined, for example in [7, 5.1], the subset of those  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} \in V(\mathbb{G}_a)$  at which  $M$  is not free is by definition  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$ . Property (7) now follows.  $\square$

As recalled in the proof of Corollary 3.3, the category of  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ -modules is equivalent to the category of  $kE$ -modules where  $E$  is the elementary abelian  $p$ -group  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r}$ . Since many examples of support varieties (equivalently, of rank varieties) for elementary abelian  $p$ -groups have been computed, Proposition 3.2(3) together with Theorem 3.10(1) provides many explicit examples. The following corollary is a simple consequence of one aspect of our knowledge of support varieties for elementary abelian  $p$ -groups.

**Corollary 3.11.** *For any closed and conical subset  $X \subset V_r(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)})$  (i.e., zero locus of homogeneous polynomial equations), there exists some finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module  $M_X$  such that*

$$V(\mathbb{G}_a)_{M_X} = pr^{-1}(X) \subset V(\mathbb{G}_a).$$

*Proof.* As in Remark 3.13.1,  $pr = \lambda_{r,r} \circ \lambda_r : V(\mathbb{G}_a) \rightarrow V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)(k)$ . The construction of Carlson's  $L_\zeta$ -modules determines a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ -module  $M$  such that  $V_r(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)})_M = \lambda_{r,r}(X)$  (see [23, 7.5]). Thus, the corollary follows from Proposition 3.2(3) and Theorem 3.10(1).  $\square$

In the definition below, we define the ‘‘Jordan type’’ of a finite dimensional, rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module  $M$  at a 1-parameter subgroup  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  as the Jordan type of the  $p$ -nilpotent operator associated to the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  on  $M$  at  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$ .

**GaJT**

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $M$  be a finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module,  $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{A}^\infty$ , and  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ . We define the **Jordan type** of  $M$  at  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  by setting

$$JT_{\mathbb{G}_a, M}(\sigma_{\underline{a}}) \equiv JT\left(\sum_{s \geq 0} a_s^{p^s} u_s, M\right),$$

the Jordan type of the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  on  $M$  at  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  (see Definition 2.4).

We remind the reader of the partial ordering on Jordan types of an endomorphism of an  $m$ -dimensional vector space:

**eq:partial**

$$(3.12.1) \quad c_1[1] + \cdots + c_p[p] \leq b_1[1] + \cdots + b_p[p] \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=j}^p c_i \cdot i \leq \sum_{i=j}^p i \cdot b_i, \quad \forall j$$

for  $m = \sum c_i \cdot i = \sum b_i \cdot i$ . We also remind the reader that for any finite dimensional  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ -module  $M$  there is an infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroup  $\mu : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$  at which the Jordan type of  $M$  is ‘‘strictly maximal’’, greater or equal to the Jordan type of  $M$  at any infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ . This is a reflection of the fact that  $V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)$  is irreducible.

In the following theorem, we verify for  $r$  sufficiently large for a given finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module  $M$  that the maximum Jordan type of  $M$  as a rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module equals the Jordan type of  $M$  as a  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ -module. The reader will observe an unavoidable confusion of notation: if  $\underline{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_{r-1}, 0, 0, \dots)$ , then

$JT_{\mathbb{G}_a, \sigma_{\underline{a}}}(M)$  equals  $JT_{\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}, \sigma_{\lambda_r(\underline{a})}}(M)$  and not  $JT_{\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}, \sigma_{pr_r(\underline{a})}}(M)$ . The compatibility of Jordan types of  $M$  restricted to  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$  for  $r \gg 0$  is achieved thanks to our twisting functions  $\lambda_r$ .

**maximal**

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $M$  be a finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module of degree  $< p^r$ . Let  $\underline{b} = (b_0, \dots, b_{r-1})$  be chosen so that  $JT_{\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}, M}(\sigma_{\underline{b}})$  is (strictly) maximal among partitions of  $\dim(M)$  occurring as Jordan types of  $M$  at (infinitesimal) 1-parameter subgroups of  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ .*

(1) *For any 1-parameter subgroup  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  with  $\lambda_r(\underline{a}) = \underline{b}$ ,*

**confuse**

$$(3.13.1) \quad JT_{\mathbb{G}_a, M}(\sigma_{\underline{a}}) = JT_{\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}, M}(\sigma_{\underline{b}}).$$

*In other words, the Jordan type of  $M$  at  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  as a  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module equals the Jordan type of  $M$  at  $\sigma(\underline{b})$  as a  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ -module.*

(2)  *$JT_{\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}, M}(\sigma_{\underline{b}})$  equals the maximum among the Jordan types  $JT_{\mathbb{G}_a, M}(\sigma_{\underline{a}})$ ,  $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{A}^\infty$ .*

(3) *For any  $c \geq 0$ ,  $V_{r+c}(\mathbb{G}_a)_M = q_{r+c, r}^{-1}(V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)_M)$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement follows from Proposition 3.8 and [9, 1.13] (see also [9, 2.7]). Namely, the fundamental result concerning the maximal Jordan type of a  $k[u_1, \dots, u_{r-1}]/(u_i^p)$ -module  $M$  asserts that if this Jordan type is achieved as the pull-back via some flat map  $\alpha : k[t]/t^p \rightarrow k[u_1, \dots, u_{r-1}]/(u_i^p)$ , then it is achieved by the (necessarily, flat) map obtained by sending  $t$  to the linear part of  $\alpha(t)$  (i.e., linear in the  $u_i$ 's).

The second statement follows from the observation that the choice of  $r$  guarantees that the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  on  $M$  at  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  equals the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  on  $M$  at  $\sigma_{pr_r(\underline{a})}$  which in turn equals the linearization of the action of  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$  on  $M$  at  $\sigma_{\lambda_r(\underline{a})}$  as seen in Proposition 3.8, together with the fact that the maximum Jordan type of these linearizations is the maximal Jordan type of  $M$  as a  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ -module.

To prove the last assertion, first observe that  $\sigma_{\underline{b}} \notin V_{r+c}(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  if and only if the Jordan type has all blocks of size  $p$ . Of course, if the Jordan type has all blocks of size  $p$ , then it is necessarily maximal. For  $r$  as in the statement of the proposition (and  $M$  of degree  $< p^r$ ) and any  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} \in V(\mathbb{G}_a)$ , we have

$$JT_{\mathbb{G}_a, M}(\sigma_{\underline{a}}) = JT_{\mathbb{G}_{a(r+c)}, M}(\lambda_{r+c}(\sigma_{\underline{a}})) = JT_{\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}, M}(\lambda_r(\sigma_{\underline{a}}))$$

if any one of those three Jordan types is maximal among the Jordan types  $JT_{\mathbb{G}_a, M}(\sigma_{\underline{a}})$ ,  $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{A}^\infty$ . Thus, the last assertion follows from the observation that  $q_{r+i, r} \circ \lambda_{r+c} = \lambda_r$ .  $\square$

In [7], the author and J. Pevtsova consider invariants for rational modules for finite group schemes which are finer than support varieties. We develop the extension of these “generalized support varieties” for rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -modules.

The following proposition, essentially found in [7, 2.8], is a generalization of the topological property of Theorem 3.10(2).

**pord**

**Proposition 3.14.** *Let  $M$  be a finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module of dimension  $n$  and let  $[c] = \sum_{i=0}^p c_i [i]$  be a partition of  $n$ . Then*

$$V^{\leq [c]}(\mathbb{G}_a)_M \equiv \{\sigma_{\underline{a}} : JT_M(\sigma_{\underline{a}}) \leq [c]\}$$

*is a closed subspace of  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)$ .*

*Equivalently, for each  $j, 0 < j < p$ , the subset of those  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} \in V(\mathbb{G}_a)$  such that the rank of  $(\sum_{i=0}^\infty a_i^{p^i} u_i)^j : M \rightarrow M$  is less than some fixed integer  $c$  (which is*

equivalent to the condition that the rank of  $(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i^{p^i} u_i)^j : M \rightarrow M$  is  $\leq c-1$  is closed.

*Proof.* The equivalence of the two statements follows from (3.12.1). Namely, for a  $p$ -nilpotent operator  $u$  on a vector space  $M$  of dimension  $m$ , the Jordan type of  $u$  equals  $c_1[1] + \cdots + c_p[p]$  if and only if the rank of  $u^j$  equals  $\sum_{i=j}^p c_i \cdot (i-j)$ .

Using Theorem 3.10(1), it suffices to replace  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)$  by  $V_r(\mathbb{G}_a) = V(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)})$  with  $r$  chosen so that  $\Delta_M(M) \subset M \otimes k[T]_{<p^r}$ . We consider the  $k[x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}]$ -linear operator

$$\Theta_M : M \otimes k[x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}] \rightarrow M \otimes k[x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}], \quad m \mapsto \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} u_s(m) \otimes x_s^{p^s}.$$

Specializing  $\Theta_M$  at  $(a_0, \dots, a_{r-1})$ , we obtain  $\Theta_M \mapsto \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} a_s^{p^s} u_s$ . Applying Nakayama's Lemma as in [8, 4.11] to  $\text{Ker}\{\Theta_M^j\}$ ,  $1 \leq j < p$ , we conclude that the rank of the  $j$ -th-power of the specialization of  $\Theta_M$  is lower semi-continuous on  $\mathbb{A}^r$ ; thus the subset of those  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} \in V_r(\mathbb{G}_a)$  such that the rank of  $(\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} a_s u_s^{p^s})^j : M \rightarrow M$  is less than some fixed integer  $c$  is closed; of course, this is equivalent to this rank being  $\leq c-1$ .  $\square$

Using Proposition 3.14, we introduce the (affine) non-maximal  $j$ -rank variety  $V^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  for a finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module  $M$  and an integer  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j < p$ . For  $G$  an infinitesimal group scheme,  $V^j(G)_M$  was defined in [7, 4.8].

def-ga-nonmax

**Definition 3.15.** For any finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module  $M$  and any  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j < p$ , we define the (affine) non-maximal  $j$ -rank variety of  $M$

$$V^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M \subset V(\mathbb{G}_a)$$

to be the subset of those  $\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  such that either  $\underline{a} = 0$  or the rank of  $(\sum_{s \geq 0} a_s^{p^s} u_s)^j : M \rightarrow M$  is not maximal.

As in [7, 4.8], for any  $r > 0$  and any  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j < p$ , we similarly define

$$V^j(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)})_M \subset V(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)})$$

to be the subset of those  $\mu_{\underline{a}} : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$  such that either  $\underline{a} = 0$  or the rank of  $\mu_{\underline{a}*}(u_{r-1}^j) : M \rightarrow M$  is not maximal.

Various examples of  $V^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  are given in [7], thanks to Proposition 3.2(3) and the first statement of the following theorem.

Ga-nonmax

**Theorem 3.16.** *The non-maximal  $j$ -rank variety  $V^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  of a finite dimensional rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) *If  $M$  has degree  $< p^r$ , then  $V^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M = pr^{-1}(\lambda_{r,r}(V_r^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M(k)))$ .*
- (2)  *$V^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M \subset V(\mathbb{G}_a)$  is a proper closed subspace.*
- (3)  *$V^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  is a subspace of  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$ , with equality if and only if the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  at some  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  has all Jordan blocks of size  $p$ .*
- (4) *For any  $r > 0$ , the restriction of  $M$  to  $k\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$  is a module of constant  $j$ -rank if and only if the intersection of  $V^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  with the subset  $\{\sigma_{\underline{a}} : \underline{a} \neq 0, a_s = 0, s \geq r\} \subset V(\mathbb{G}_a)$  is empty.*

*Proof.* As observed in [7, 3.5], Theorem 3.13 remains valid if maximal Jordan type is replaced by maximal  $j$ -rank. Modifying the proof of Theorem 3.10(1) by replacing maximal Jordan type by maximal  $j$ -rank gives a proof of Property (1).

The containment  $V^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M \subset V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  is immediate from the definition of  $V^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$ , as is the assertion that  $V^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  is necessarily a proper subset of  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)$ . The assertion that  $V^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  is closed in  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)$  is a restatement of the second assertion of Proposition 3.14. (Property (2) also follows from Property (1) together with the fact that  $V^j(\mathbb{G}_{(a(r))})_M \subset V(\mathbb{G}_{(a(r))})$  is closed.)

Equality of  $V^j(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  and  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M$  occurs if and only if the maximal  $j$ -rank occurs exactly at those  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  at which the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  has all blocks of size  $p$ . If there is some such  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  at which the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  has all blocks of size  $p$ , then the  $j$ -rank at some  $\sigma_{\underline{b}}$  equals that at  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  if and only if  $\sigma_{\underline{b}}$  also has all blocks of size  $p$  (the  $j$ -rank of a matrix with a single Jordan block of size  $\ell \leq p$  is  $\min\{0, \ell - j\}$ ). On the other hand if there does not exist some  $\sigma_{\underline{a}}$  at which the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  has all blocks of size  $p$ , then  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)_M = V(\mathbb{G}_a)$ , whereas it is tautological that the  $j$ -rank is non-maximal on a proper subset of  $V(\mathbb{G}_a)$ .

Finally, to prove property (4), we recall that a  $\mathbb{G}_{(a(r))}$ -module  $M$  is a module of constant  $j$ -rank if and only if  $V^j(\mathbb{G}_{(a(r))})_M - \{0\}$  is empty ([7]). Thus, this property is proved by a slight adaption of the proof of Theorem 3.10(7).  $\square$

#### 4. SUPPORT VARIETIES FOR RATIONAL $G$ -MODULES, $G$ A LINEAR ALGEBRAIC GROUP OF EXPONENTIAL TYPE

sec:exptype

In this section, we extend the results of §3 from the special case  $G = \mathbb{G}_a$  to linear algebraic groups  $G$  equipped with a structure of exponential type. All simple algebraic groups of classical type are groups of exponential type; as remarked in Example 1.8, other examples are reductive algebraic groups, their parabolic subgroups, and the unipotent radicals of parabolic subgroups subject to a condition on  $p$  depending upon the type of  $G$ .

The formalism given for  $G = \mathbb{G}_a$  applies to this more general context with very little change, and we do not repeat those arguments of §3 which apply essentially verbatim. What enables this extension of Section 3 is Proposition 4.2, an interpretation of a result of P. Sobaje. In particular, Theorems 4.6 and 4.11 extend to rational  $G$ -modules the basic Theorems 3.10 and 3.16 for rational  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -modules.

We begin with the evident extension of Definition 3.5. We remind the reader that every 1-parameter subgroup  $\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  is of the form  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  if  $G$  is provided with a structure of exponential type.

Lambda

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type. For any  $\underline{B} \in \mathcal{C}_{\infty}(\mathcal{N}_r(\mathfrak{g}))$  and any  $r > 0$ , we set  $\Lambda_r(\underline{B}) = (B_{r-1}, B_{r-2}, \dots, B_0)$  and similarly define  $\Lambda_{r+c, r}$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_r : V(G) &\rightarrow V_r(G)(k), & \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} &\mapsto \mathcal{E}_{\Lambda_r(\underline{B})} \circ i_r, \\ \Lambda_{r+c, r} : V_{r+c}(G) &\rightarrow V_r(G), & \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \circ i_{r+c} &\mapsto \mathcal{E}_{\Lambda_r(\underline{B})} \circ i_r. \end{aligned}$$

The key observation which enables the formalism of Section 3 to be extended to rational  $G$ -modules for  $G$  equipped with a structure of exponential type is the following proposition, essentially an interpretation in our context of Proposition 2.3 of [20]. This can be viewed as a generalization of Proposition 3.4. We give an overview of Sobaje's proof which we shall use in the proof of our refinement in Proposition 4.3.

sobaje

**Proposition 4.2.** (cf. Sobaje, [20, 2.3]) Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type,  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ , and  $r$  a positive integer. Then for

any 1-parameter subgroup  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  and any finite dimensional  $kG_{a(r)}$ -module  $M$ , the pullback of  $M$  along the ( $k$ -rational)  $\pi$ -point

**p1** (4.2.1)  $k[u]/u^p \rightarrow kG_{(r)}, \quad u \mapsto (\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}_r(B)})_*(u_{r-1})$

is projective if and only if the pullback of  $M$  along the map of  $k$ -algebras

**p2** (4.2.2)  $k[u]/u^p \rightarrow kG_{(r)}, \quad u \mapsto \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s)$

is projective.

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.8,  $\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s)$  is a sum of  $p$ -nilpotent, pairwise commuting elements of  $kG$  and thus (4.2.2) is well defined.

The proof of [20, 2.3] applies essentially verbatim. Sobaje's proof proceeds by factoring  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  as

$$\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} = \Phi_r \circ \Psi_r : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^{\times r} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a, \quad \Phi_r = \mathcal{E}_{B_0} \bullet \cdots \bullet \mathcal{E}_{B_{r-1}}, \quad \Psi_r = \times_{s=0}^{r-1} F^s.$$

Sobaje observes that a simple tensor  $x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r-1} \in k(\mathbb{G}_a^{\times r})$  is sent by  $\Phi_{r*}$  to the product  $\mathcal{E}_{B_0*}(x_0) \bullet \cdots \bullet \mathcal{E}_{B_{r-1}*}(x_{r-1})$ . He then verifies that  $\Psi_{r*} : k\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow k(\mathbb{G}_a^{\times r})$  sends  $u_{r-1}$  to the sum of simple tensors of the form  $u_{s,r-s} \equiv 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes u_s \otimes 1 \cdots \otimes 1$  (with  $u_s$  in the  $r-1-s$  position) plus a sum of terms involving a product of two or more  $p$ -nilpotent terms in  $k(\mathbb{G}_a^{\times r})$ .

Thus,  $\Phi_{r*}$  sends  $\Psi_{r*}(u_{r-1})$  to the sum  $\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \mathcal{E}_{B_{r-s-1}*}(u_s)$  plus the image under  $\Phi_{r*}$  of a sum of terms involving a product of two or more  $p$ -nilpotent elements in  $k(\mathbb{G}_a^{\times r})$ . Consequently, by [23, 6.4] applied to the abelian subalgebra of  $kG$  generated by the image of  $\Phi_{r*}$ , the restriction of  $M$  along (4.2.1) is projective if and only if the restriction of  $M$  along (4.2.2) is projective for any finite dimensional  $kG_{(r)}$ -module  $M$ .  $\square$

Recall that a  $\pi$ -point of an affine group scheme  $G$  is a left flat map  $\alpha : K[t]/t^p \rightarrow KG$  which factors through the group algebra of some commutative subgroup scheme  $C_K \subset G_K$ . In Proposition 4.2, the map (4.2.1) is a  $\pi$ -point, factor through the group algebra of the image of the 1-parameter subgroup  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$ . Using this proposition, we can conclude that (4.2.2) is also a  $\pi$ -point, thereby allowing us to compare Jordan types using the results of [9].

**sobaje-max**

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type,  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ , and  $r$  a positive integer. Then (4.2.2) is a  $\pi$ -point of  $G_{(r)}$  equivalent to the  $\pi$ -point (4.2.1).*

*Let  $M$  a finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module. Let  $[\underline{c}] = \sum_{i=1}^p [c_i]$  be a Jordan type maximal among the Jordan types of  $M$  at infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroups  $\mu : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow G$ . Then the pullback of  $M$  along the  $\pi$ -point (4.2.1) has Jordan type  $[\underline{c}]$  if and only if the pullback of  $M$  along the  $\pi$ -point (4.2.2) has Jordan type  $[\underline{c}]$ .*

*Proof.* We first consider the special case  $M = kG_{(r)}$ . Then  $M$  is free as a (left)  $kG_{(r)}$ -module and since (4.2.1) is flat, the restriction of  $M$  along (4.2.1) is a free  $k[u]/u^p$ -module. By Proposition 4.2, the restriction of  $M$  along (4.2.2) is thus also a free  $k[u]/u^p$ -module. Consequently, (4.2.2) is flat. As observed in the proof of Proposition 4.2,  $\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s)$  lies in  $\phi_{r*}(k\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}^{\times r})$ . The latter is the group algebra  $kC$  of the abelian subgroup scheme of  $G_{(r)}$  defined as the image under  $\phi_r$  of  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}^{\times r}$ . Thus, (4.2.2) is a  $\pi$ -point.



Now, let  $M$  denote an arbitrary finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module. Proposition 4.2 is exactly the statement that the  $\pi$ -points (4.2.1) and (4.2.2) are equivalent. The second statement of the proposition follows from the first and the independence of the Jordan type of  $\pi$ -points which are equivalent and for which the Jordan type of  $M$  is maximal for one of the  $\pi$ -points [9, 3.5].  $\square$

Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 suggest the following extension of Definition 3.9.

**supportG**

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type and let  $M$  be a rational  $G$ -module. We define the **support variety** of  $M$  to be the subset  $V(G)_M \subset V(G)$  consisting of those  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  such that  $M$  restricted to  $k[u]/u^p$  is not free, where  $u = \sum_{s \geq 0} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s) \in kG$  (as in (2.9.1)).

For  $M$  finite dimensional, we define the **Jordan type** of  $M$  as a rational  $G$ -module at  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  by

$$JT_{G,M}(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}) \equiv JT\left(\sum_{s \geq 0} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s), M\right),$$

the Jordan type of the action of  $G$  on  $M$  at  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  (see Definition 2.9). For such a finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module  $M$ ,  $V(G)_M \subset V(G)$  consists of those 1-parameter subgroups  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  such that some block of the Jordan type of  $M$  at  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  has size  $< p$ .

We proceed to verify that this definition of Jordan type satisfies the “same” list of properties as that of Theorem 3.10. First, we require the following definition, closely related to the formulation of  $p$ -nilpotent degree given in [4, 2.6].

**expdegree**

**Definition 4.5.** (cf. [4, 2.5]) Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type and let  $M$  be a rational  $G$ -module. Then  $M$  is said to have exponential degree  $< p^r$  if  $(\mathcal{E}_B)_*(u_s)$  acts trivially on  $M$  for all  $s \geq r$ , all  $B \in \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})$ .

For example, Proposition 2.6 tells us that every finite dimensional  $G$ -module  $M$  has exponential degree  $< p^r$  for  $r$  sufficiently large.

**G-items**

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type and  $M$  a rational  $G$ -module*

- (1) *If  $M$  has exponential degree  $< p^r$ , then  $V(G)_M = \Lambda_r^{-1}(V_r(G)_M(k))$  (which equals  $pr_r^{-1}(\lambda_{r,r}(V_r(G)_M(k)))$ ).*
- (2) *If  $M$  is finite dimensional, then  $V(G)_M \subset V(G)$  is closed.*
- (3)  $V(G)_{M \oplus N} = V(G)_M \cup V(G)_N$ .
- (4)  $V(G)_{M \otimes N} = V(G)_M \cap V(G)_N$ .
- (5) *If  $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence of rational  $G$ -modules, then the support variety  $V(G)_{M_i}$  of one of the  $M_i$ ’s is contained in the union of the support varieties of the other two.*
- (6) *If  $G$  admits an embedding  $G \hookrightarrow GL_N$  of exponential type defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then*

$$V(G)_{M^{(1)}} = \{\mathcal{E}_{(B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots)} \in V(G) : \mathcal{E}_{(B_1^{(1)}, B_2^{(1)}, \dots)} \in V(G)_M\}.$$

- (7) *For any  $r > 0$ , the restriction of  $M$  to  $kG_{(r)}$  is injective (equivalently, projective) if and only if the intersection of  $V(G)_M$  with the subset  $\{\psi_{\underline{B}} : B_s = 0, s > r\}$  inside  $V(G)$  equals  $\{\mathcal{E}_{\underline{0}}\}$ .*
- (8)  $V(G)_M \subset V(G)$  is a  $G(k)$ -stable subset.

*Proof.* Comparing Definitions 3.1 and 4.3, we see that to prove Property (1) it suffices to compare projectivity of  $M$  when restricted along the actions of  $\sum_{s \geq 0} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s)$  and of  $(\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda_r(B)})_*(u_r - 1)$ . Since  $M$  is assumed to have exponential degree  $< p^r$ , the action of  $u = \sum_{s \geq 0} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s)$  on  $M$  equals that of  $\sum_{r=0}^{r-1} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s)$  on  $M$ . By [7, 4.6], Proposition 4.3 enables us to extend Proposition 4.2 to arbitrary  $kG_{a(r)}$ -modules, thereby providing the required comparison of projectivity of  $M$ .

Property (1) immediately implies that  $V(G)_M \subset V(G)$  is closed since  $V_r(G)_M \subset V_r(G)$  is closed. As in the proof of Theorem 3.10, Properties (3), (4), and (5) are readily checked by checking at one  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \in V(G)$  at a time.

Corollary 2.12 applies exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3.10 to prove property (6). Similarly the proof of property (7) of Theorem 3.10 applies with minor notational changes (replacing the reference to Proposition 3.8 by a reference to Proposition 4.2 extended to modules of infinite dimension using Proposition 4.3) to prove Property (7).

Finally, to verify that  $V(G)_M$  is  $G(k)$ -stable, we observe that for any  $x \in G(k)$  the rational  $G$ -module  $M^x$  is isomorphic to  $M$ . Consequently, the action of  $G$  at  $x \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  on  $M$  is isomorphic to the action of  $G$  at  $x \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  on  $M^x$  which equals the action of  $G$  at  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  on  $M$ .  $\square$

rem-poly

**Remark 4.7.** A special case of Theorem 4.6 is the case  $G = GL_n$  and  $M$  a polynomial  $GL_n$ -module homogenous of some degree as in Example 2.7. In particular, Theorem 4.6 provides a theory of support varieties for modules over the Schur algebra  $S(n, d)$  for  $n \geq d$ .

For  $M$  finite dimensional, the proof of Theorem 4.6(1) proves the following statement.

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type,  $M$  a finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module, and  $r$  such that the exponential degree of  $M$  is  $< p^r$ . Then*

$$JT_{G,M}(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}) = JT_{G_{(r)},M}(\Lambda_r(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}})).$$

rem-bound

**Remark 4.9.** As observed in [20, §3], the condition on the upper bound for the exponential degree in Theorem 4.6(1) can be weakened using [2, Prop 8]. Namely, the test for projectivity along the restriction of (4.2.1) is equivalent to the test for projectivity for the map  $u \mapsto \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s)$  provided that the  $(p-1)$ -st power of  $u_s$  acts trivially on  $M$  for all  $s \geq r$ .

Proposition 4.3 enables us to extend consideration of generalized support varieties for  $\mathbb{G}_a$  (as defined in Definition 3.15) to linear algebraic groups equipped with a structure of exponential type.

defn:gen

**Definition 4.10.** Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type. For any any  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j < r$ , the non-maximal  $j$ -rank variety of a finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module  $M$

$$V^j(G)_M \subset V(G)$$

is defined as the subset consisting of  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{0}}$  and those 1-parameter subgroups  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  such that the rank of  $(\sum_{s \geq 0} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s))^j : M \rightarrow M$  is not maximal.

As in [7] and Definition 3.15,

$$V^j(G_{(r)})_M \subset V_r(G)$$

is defined to be the subset of those  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \circ i_r : G_{a(r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  such that either  $\underline{B} = 0$  or the rank of  $(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \circ i_r)_*(u_{r-1}^j)$  is not 0.

The following theorem is the extension of Theorem 3.16 to linear algebraic groups equipped with a structure of exponential type.

**G-nonmax**

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type and let  $M$  be a finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module with nilpotent exponential degree  $< p^r$ . For any  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j < p^r$ , the non-maximal  $j$ -rank variety  $V^j(G)_M$  of a finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module satisfies the following properties:*

- (1)  $V^j(G)_M = pr_r^{-1}(\lambda_{r,r}(V_r^j(G)_M(k)))$ .
- (2)  $V^j(G)_M \subset V(G)$  is a proper,  $G(k)$ -stable, closed subspace.
- (3)  $V^j(G)_M$  is a subspace of  $V(G)_M$ , with equality if and only if the action of  $G$  at some  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  has all Jordan blocks of size  $p$ .
- (4) The restriction of  $M$  to  $kG_{(r)}$  is a module of constant  $j$ -rank if and only if the intersection of  $V^j(G)_M$  with the subset  $\{\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} : \underline{B} \neq 0, B_s = 0, s \geq r\} \subset V(G)$  is empty.

*Proof.* Exactly as remarked at beginning of the proof of Theorem 3.16(1), Proposition 4.3 remains valid if the statement is modified by replacing Jordan type to  $j$ -rank thanks to [7, 3.5]. Thus the proof of Theorem 4.6 applies essentially verbatim to prove the first assertion by appealing to this modified version of Proposition 4.3.

The fact that  $V^j(G)_M \subset V(G)$  is closed follows from Property (1) and the fact proved in [7, 2.8] that  $V^j(G_{(r)})_M \subset V(G_{(r)})$  is closed; the fact that this inclusion is proper is tautological; the fact that it is  $G(k)$ -stable follows exactly as in the proof of Theorem 4.6(2).

Properties (3) and (4) are proved exactly as Theorem 3.16(3),(4).  $\square$

## 5. FINITE DIMENSIONAL EXAMPLES

**sec:findim**

Let  $G$  be a simple algebraic group of classical type and assume that  $p > h$ , where  $h$  is the Coxeter number of  $G$ . Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$  be the set of simple roots with respect to some Borel subgroup  $B \subset G$ ,  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\ell\}$  be the set of fundamental dominant weights of  $G$ , and for each  $j$  write  $\omega_j^\vee = \frac{2\omega_j}{\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_j \rangle}$ . By [4, 2.7], the condition that all the high weights  $\mu$  of  $M$  of a rational  $G$ -module satisfy

$$(5.0.1) \quad 2 \sum_{j=1}^l \langle \mu, \omega_j^\vee \rangle < p$$

implies that  $u_i$  acts trivially on  $M$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Thus, the condition that every  $u_i^{p-1}$  acts trivially on  $M$  for  $i \geq 1$  is implied by the condition that

$$(5.0.2) \quad 2 \sum_{j=1}^l \langle \mu, \omega_j^\vee \rangle < p(p-1).$$

For a  $p$ -restricted dominant weight  $\mu$ , we denote by  $I_\mu$  the a subset of the root lattice  $\Pi$  determined by  $\mu$  as in [14, 6.2.1]) and denote by  $\mathfrak{u}_{I_\mu}$  the the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of the associated parabolic subgroup  $P_{I_\mu}$ .

**induced-tensor**

**Proposition 5.1.** (see [20, 3.1]) Let  $G$  be a simple algebraic group of classical type and assume that  $p \geq h$ . Let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  be dominant weights of  $G$ , each satisfying (5.0.2). Denote by  $M$  the tensor product of Frobenius twists of induced modules

$$M \equiv H^0(\mu_0) \otimes H^0(\mu_1)^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes H^m(\mu_m)^{(m)}.$$

Then

**tensor twists**

$$(5.1.1) \quad V(G)_M = \{\underline{B} : B_i^{(i)} \in G \cdot u_{I_{\mu_i}}\},$$

*Proof.* If  $M$  is a finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module with the property that all high weights  $\mu$  of  $M$  satisfy (5.0.2), then Theorem 4.6(1) implies that  $V(G)_M = pr_1^{-1}((V_1(G))(k))$ . In other words,  $V(G)_M = \{exp_{\underline{B}} : B_0 \in V_1(G)_M\}$ .

The ‘‘Jantzen Conjecture’’ (see [14, 6.2.1]) determines explicitly  $V_1(G)_M \subset V(G)$  for  $G = H^0(\mu)$  provided  $p$  is good for  $G$  (which is implied by  $p \geq h$ ). Namely,  $V_1(G)_M$  is a single  $G$  orbit,  $V_1(G)_M = G \cdot u_{I_{\mu}}$ , Theorem 4.6(6) then enables us to determine  $V(G)_M$  for  $M$  a Frobenius twist of  $H^0(\mu)$  with  $\mu$  satisfying (5.0.2).

Furthermore, Theorem 4.6(4) enables us to compute  $V(G)_M$  for  $M$  a tensor product of the form  $H^0(\mu_0) \otimes H^0(\mu_1)^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes H^m(\mu_m)^{(m)}$  provided that each  $\mu_i$  satisfies (5.0.2), with answer given by (5.1.1).  $\square$

**Example 5.2.** For polynomial  $GL_n$ -modules, the bounds for computations given in Proposition 5.1 can be weakened as discussed in Example 2.7; namely, to insure that  $u_r \in kGL_n$  acts trivially on the polynomial  $GL_n$ -module  $M$  of degree  $d$ , it suffices to assume that  $p^r > (p-1)d$ . Moreover, to insure that  $u_r^{p-1}$  acts trivially on  $M$ , it suffices to assume that  $p^r > d$ .

**ex-St**

**Example 5.3.** Consider the polynomial  $GL_2$  module  $k[x, y]_{p^r-1} = St_r$ . Recall that  $St_r$  is projective as a  $GL_{2(r)}$ -module. By Example 2.7, the action of  $u_r$  on  $St_r$  has  $(p-1)$ -st power trivial and the action of  $u_{r+i}$  on  $St_r$  is trivial for  $i > 0$ . Applying [2, 4.3], we conclude that the action of  $\sum_{s \geq 0} (exp_{A_s})_*(u_s)$  on  $St_r$  is free if some  $A_s \neq 0$ ,  $s < r$  and that the action is never free if  $A_s = 0$  for all  $s < r$ . Consequently,  $V(GL_2)_{St_r} = pr_r^{-1}(\{\mathcal{E}_0\})$ .

**ex-max**

**Example 5.4.** As in Remark 4.7, we consider a polynomial  $GL_n$ -module of degree  $d$  with  $(p-1)d < p^2$ . For such a rational  $GL_n$ -module  $M$ , Example 2.7 tells us that  $u_r \in kGL_n$  acts trivially on  $M$  for  $r \geq 2$ . Thus, as in Theorem 4.11(1),  $V^j(GL_n)_M = pr_2^{-1}(\lambda_{2,2}(V_2^j(GL_n)_M(k)))$ . The special case  $V_2(SL_2)_M$  for  $M$  an irreducible  $SL_{2(2)}$ -module is worked out in detail in [8, 4.12]. Consequently, this detailed computation leads to an explicit description of  $V^j(GL_2)_M$  for  $M$  an irreducible  $SL_2$  module of weight  $d$  satisfying  $(p-1)d < p^2$  (i.e.,  $d \leq p+1$ ).

**sec:infdim**

## 6. INFINITE DIMENSIONAL EXAMPLES

In this section, we show that injective rational  $G$ -modules have trivial support variety (Proposition 6.2) and use this to compute non-trivial support varieties of certain special infinite dimensional rational  $G$ -modules (Proposition 6.3).

**zero**

**Proposition 6.1.** Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type and  $I$  a rational  $G$ -module. Then  $V(G)_I = \{\mathcal{E}_0\}$  if and only if  $V_r(G)_I = \{\mathcal{E}_0\}$  for all  $r > 0$  if and only if the restriction of  $I$  to each  $G_{(r)}$  is injective.

*Proof.* Using the observation following Definition 1.6 that every infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroup of  $G$  lifts to a some  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$ , we conclude that  $\Lambda_r : V(G) \rightarrow V_r(G)(k)$  is surjective. By construction,  $V(G) \rightarrow \varprojlim_r V_r(G)(k)$  is an embedding. Therefore, the definition of  $V(G)_I \subset V(G)$  given in Definition 4.4 implies that  $V(G)_I = \{\mathcal{E}_0\}$  if and only if  $V_r(G)_I = \{\mathcal{E}_0\}$  for all  $r > 0$ . On the other hand, for any  $G_{(r)}$ -module  $M$  we know that  $V_r(G)_M = 0$  if and only if  $M$  is injective as a  $G_{(r)}$ -module  $M$  (cf. [16]).  $\square$

We apply Proposition 6.1 to conclude that the support of an injective rational  $G$ -module (for  $G$  of exponential type) is trivial. The argument we present is at least implicit in the work of Jantzen (cf. [12, 4.10-4.11]) and was provided to us by J. Pevtsova.

ker-inj

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group and  $I$  an injective rational  $G$ -module. Then for each  $r > 0$ , the restriction of  $I$  to  $G_{(r)}$  is injective.*

*Consequently, if  $G$  has a structure of exponential type and  $I$  is an injective, rational  $G$ -module, then*

$$V(G)_I = \{\mathcal{E}_0\}.$$

*Proof.* Any injective rational  $G$ -module  $I$  is a summand of a direct sum of copies of the injective module  $k[G]$ , so that it suffices to assume  $I = k[G]$  because direct sums and summands of injective modules are injective. To prove that the restriction of  $k[G]$  to  $G_{(r)}$  is injective, it suffices to prove for all finite dimensional  $kG_{(r)}$ -modules  $M$  that  $\text{Ext}_{G_{(r)}}^n(M, k[G]) = 0$ ,  $n > 0$ . For such finite dimensional  $M$ , this is equivalent to showing that

$$H^n(G_{(r)}, M^\# \otimes k[G]) = R^n((-)^{G_{(r)}})(M^\# \otimes k[G]) = 0, \quad n > 0.$$

Recall that the composition  $= (-)^{G_{(r)}} \circ (- \otimes k[G])$  equals  $\text{Ind}_{G_{(r)}}^G(-)$  as functors from  $(kG_{(r)})$ -modules to (rational  $G$ -modules) Since  $(- \otimes k[G])$  is exact, we conclude that

$$R^n \text{Ind}_{G_{(r)}}^G(-) = R^n(-)^{G_{(r)}} \circ (- \otimes k[G]), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Since  $G/G_{(r)}$  is affine,  $G_{(r)} \subset G$  is exact as in [12, I.5.13]; thus,  $R^n \text{Ind}_{G_{(r)}}^G(-) = 0, n > 0$ . We thereby conclude that  $H^n(G_{(r)}, M^\# \otimes k[G]) = 0$  for  $n > 0$  as required.

The second assertion follows immediately from the first and Proposition 6.1.  $\square$

As a consequence of Proposition 6.2, we get the following additional computation.

prop:homog

**Example 6.3.** Let  $G \simeq H \rtimes K$  be a linear algebraic group equipped with a structure of exponential type as in Example 1.10. Then

$$V(G)_{k[K]} = V(H),$$

where  $k[K] = k[G/H]$  is given the rational  $G$ -module structure obtained as the restriction along  $\pi : G \rightarrow K$  of the natural rational  $K$ -structure on  $k[K]$ .

*Proof.* Our hypothesis on  $H \subset G$  implies that every 1-parameter subgroup  $\psi : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow H$  is of the form  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  with  $\underline{B} \in \mathcal{C}_r(\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{h}))$  for some  $r > 0$ . Moreover, by Example 1.10,  $\pi$  is a map of groups of exponential type.

Observe that  $\pi \circ \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  is the trivial 1-parameter subgroup of  $G/H$  if and only if  $\underline{B} \in \mathcal{C}_r(\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}))$  maps to 0 in  $\mathcal{C}_r(\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}))$  if and only if  $\underline{B} \in \mathcal{C}_r(\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{h}))$ . In other words,  $V(H) \subset V(G)$  consists of those 1-parameter subgroups  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G$  with the property that  $\pi \circ \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G/H$  is trivial; of course, for each such

$\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$ ,  $\sum_{s \geq 0} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s)$  acts trivially on any rational  $G$ -module  $M$ . In particular any such  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}}$  does not act freely of  $k[G/H]$ . The proposition now follows by applying Proposition 6.2 to  $G/H$  which tells us that if  $\underline{B}$  maps to a non-zero element  $\underline{C} \in \mathcal{C}_r(\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}))$ , then the action of  $\sum_s (\mathcal{E}_{C_s})_*(u_s)$  on  $k[G/H]$  is free; on the other hand, the action of  $\sum_s (\mathcal{E}_{C_s})_*(u_s)$  equals the action of  $\sum_s (\mathcal{E}_{B_s})_*(u_s)$  on  $k[G/H]$  since the  $G$ -action on  $k[G/H]$  is that determined by  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ .  $\square$

**levi**

**Example 6.4.** As in Example 1.8, let  $G$  be a reductive group with  $PSL_p$  not a factor of  $[G, G]$  and assume that  $p \geq h(G)$ . Let  $P \subset G$  be a parabolic subgroup with unipotent radical  $U \subset P$  and Levi factor group  $\pi : P \rightarrow L = P/U$ . Then

$$(6.4.1) \quad V(P)_{k[L]} = V(U) \subset V(P).$$

Here,  $k[L]$  is given the structure of a rational  $P$ -module determined by extending the usual action of  $L$  on  $k[L]$  along the quotient map  $\pi : P \rightarrow L$ .

Using the tensor product property of Theorem 4.6 (4), we obtain the following examples. These examples are of interest for they suggest a means of realizing various subspaces of  $V(G)$  as the support variety of some (possibly infinite dimensional) rational  $G$ -module  $M$ .

**Example 6.5.** Adopt the hypotheses and notation of Proposition 5.1. Let  $\tilde{M}$  be given as

$$\tilde{M} \equiv .H^0(\mu_0) \otimes H^0(\mu_1)^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes H^m(\mu_m)^{(m)} \otimes k[G]^{(m+1)}.$$

Then

$$V(G)_{\tilde{M}} = \{\underline{B} : B_i^{(i)} \in G \cdot \mathfrak{u}_{I_{\mu_i}}, 0 \leq i \leq m; B_j = 0, j > m\}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Carlson, *The varieties and the cohomology ring of a module*, J. Algebra **85** (1983), 101-143.
- [2] J. Carlson, Z. Lin, and D. Nakano, *Support varieties for modules over Chevalley groups and classical Lie algebras*, Trans. A.M.S. **360** (2008), 1870 - 1906.
- [3] E. Cline, B. Parshall, L. Scott, *Cohomology, hyperalgebras, and representations*, J. Algebra **63** (1980), 98-123.
- [4] E. Friedlander, *Restrictions to  $G(\mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $G_{(r)}$  of rational  $G$ -modules*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 6, 1955-1978.
- [5] E. Friedlander, *Spectrum of group cohomology and support varieties*, J. K-Theory **11** (2013) 507-516.
- [6] E. Friedlander, J. Pevtsova,  *$\Pi$ -supports for modules for finite group schemes*, Duke. Math. J. **139** (2007), 317-368.
- [7] E. Friedlander, J. Pevtsova, *Generalized support varieties for finite group schemes*, Documenta Math (2010), 197-222.
- [8] E. Friedlander, J. Pevtsova, *Constructions for infinitesimal group schemes*, Trans. A.M.S. **363** (2011), 6007-6061.
- [9] E. Friedlander, J. Pevtsova, A. Suslin, *Generic and Jordan types*, Invent math **168** (2007), 485-522.
- [10] E. Friedlander, A. Suslin, *Cohomology of finite group schemes over a field*, Invent. Math. **127**(1997), 209-270.
- [11] J. A. Green, *Polynomial representations of  $GL_n$* , Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Math 830, 1980.
- [12] J.C. Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic groups*, Academic Press, (1987).
- [13] G. McNinch, *Optimal  $SL(2)$  homomorphism*, Comment. Math. Helv. **80** (2005), 391-426.
- [14] D. Nakano, B.J. Parshall, D.C. Vella, *Support varieties for algebraic groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **547** (2002), 15-49.
- [15] V. Ostrik, *Cohomological supports for quantum groups*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **32** (1998), 237-246.

- [16] J. Pevtsova, *Infinite dimensional modules for Frobenius kernels*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **173** (2002), 59 - 86.
- [17] D. Quillen, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring: I*, Ann. Math **94**(1971), 549-572.
- [18] D. Quillen, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring: II*, Ann. Math **94**(1971), 573-602.
- [19] G. Seitz, *Unipotent elements, tilting modules, and saturation*, Invent. Math. **141** (2000), 467-502.
- [20] P. Sobaje, *Support varieties for Frobenius kernels of classical groups*. J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **216** (2013), 2657-2664.
- [21] P. Sobaje, *On exponentiation and infinitesimal one-parameter subgroups of reductive groups*, J. Algebra **385** (2013), 14-26.
- [22] A. Suslin, E. Friedlander, C. Bendel, *Infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroups and cohomology*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), 693-728.
- [23] A. Suslin, E. Friedlander, C. Bendel *Support varieties for infinitesimal group schemes*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), 729-759.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA  
E-mail address: `ericmf@usc.edu`  
E-mail address: `eric@math.northwestern.edu`